# คกค䈍Apartment Report 

## CORONAVIRUS

Craig McConachie，Cछ R Real Estate Services，Company，Apartment Report Committee

This issue of the Apartment Report will be unlike any other issue．Our numbers for the report were compiled and finalized on March 18，2020，eight days after Oregon declared a public health emergency，seven days after the World Health Organization declared COVID－19 a global pandemic and five days after POTUS declared the outbreak a national emergency．Our vacancy factors and rent rate numbers are always trailing data，but now they are merely a benchmark to measure what will surely be a precipitous decline in rent growth，occupancy and effective income． Amidst a backdrop of tightening landlord restrictions，regulations and state－wide rent control，multifamily investors are now faced with eviction moratoriums and an economy that has been rocked by the national COVID－19 pandemic emergency．

## TO OUR READERS：

Please excuse the brevity of this Apartment Report Issue．As we approached the submittal deadline for articles，the committee realized that any information that would be included in articles would be quickly dated and of little practical use to our readers．With the health and economic situation changing so rapidly，some of our usual contributors were reluctant to project how our industry would be impacted． Consequently，we are simply presenting the data that has been collected，with minimal editorial or narrative content and hope that our Fall issue will be able to provide more clarity and context．You＇ll note that our CoStar Sales Trend Report for the Metro area includes data for the first quarter of 2020．The number of transactions
from the fourth quarter 2019 （105）to the first quarter 2020 （54）dropped by $49 \%$ ． This is a strong indication of where the sales market is heading．

## Portland／Vancouver

## VACANCY：

The Portland／Vancouver vacancy factor increased slightly from our Fall report，and currently stands at $4.69 \%$ ．St．Johns and Beaverton have the lowest vacancy factors， both under $3.6 \%$ ．，followed by Milwaukie at $3.7 \%$ ．The highest vacancies are found in Downtown（6．6\％），Northwest Portland （5．9\％）and Outer SE Portland（5．7\％）． Both Downtown and Northwest Portland were under 5\％last Fall．Two－bedroom townhouses have the best occupancy of all unit types，with average vacancy of $2.9 \%$ ． Studio units have the highest vacancy of $5.9 \%$ ．This survey excludes new projects in the lease－up phase that haven＇t reached stability，unless they are over one year old or over $85 \%$ occupied．

## RENT RATES：

Overall rent rates have barely inched up from an average of $\$ 1.74$ to $\$ 1.75$ over the past six months．Five of our twenty surveyed areas saw rent rates decline．Rent rates were mostly stagnant with the exception of Inner and Central SE，Lake Oswego and St．Johns with increases of 4－6\％．
Average rent per sq．ft．for all areas combined is $\$ 1.75$ ．The Downtown core area has the highest rates at $\$ 2.49$ ，with Lake Oswego coming in second at $\$ 2.29$ ．Outer NE Portland and Outer SE Portland continue to have some of the lowest rates，at $\$ 1.35$ and $\$ 1.40$ respectively．

Overall average rents per unit type－Portland：

| UNITTYPE | SPR 20 | FALL 19 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Studio | $\$ 1179$ | $\$ 1183$ |
| $1 \mathrm{bdrm} / 1 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1318$ | $\$ 1293$ |
| $2 \mathrm{bdrm} / 1 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1242$ | $\$ 1250$ |
| $2 \mathrm{bdrm} / 2 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1577$ | $\$ 1588$ |
| 2 bdrm townhome | $\$ 1387$ | $\$ 1434$ |
| $3 \mathrm{bdrm} / 1 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1401$ | $\$ 1378$ |
| $3 \mathrm{bdrm} / 2 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1652$ | $\$ 1697$ |

（continued on page 2）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．

## SURVEY SAYG！

－Vacancy Rates Increase
－Rent Growth Slowing－
－Investors Await Corona Fallout
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## PロRTLAND METRロ AREA

## MULTNロMAH CロUNTY
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（18）NORTH PORTLAND｜ST．JOHNS
（6）SW PORTLAND
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（2）HILLSBORO｜N OF HWY 26TIGARD｜TUALATIN SHERWOOD

CLARK CIUNTY
（19）WEST VANCOUVER
（20）EAST VANCOUVER
$\qquad$

## Other Areas

The Salem market saw vacancies improve to $3.7 \%$ ，although rents have declined slightly to $\$ 1.29 \mathrm{psf}$ average．Vacancies in studio units are particularly low at $1.6 \%$ ．The Bend／Redmond area has seen vacancies increase to $6.4 \%$ ，over a $3 \%$ jump，with a $4 \%$ increase in rent rates． The vacancy rate in Eugene／Springfield has increased to $5 \%$ ，and rents have remained stable．

## Our Contributors

Patrick Barry，from Barry and Associates，examines the apartment construction and development landscape in the Metro area．He notes that 2019 saw 6，500 units delivered，but only 5，150 were absorbed，leading to an increase in overall vacancies．Developers are concerned as projects are being mothballed，or delayed．＂The uncertainty regarding the impact of COVID－19 will slow future development as many builders are taking a wait and see approach．＂

This survey represents a total of 71,612 units from 1,115 properties．All of the articles have been reprinted without editing the content，in order to present unbiased opinions．We＇d like to thank all of the management companies and property owners who have submitted information．Their participation is critical in insuring the accuracy of our data and the continued success of this report．$\quad$－


AVERAEE NUMBER ロF DAYG VACANT - pGRTLAND/VANGGUVER


SURVEY RESULTS—SPRING 2ロZロ
PGRTLAND/VANCIUVER METRG AREA

| AREA NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# OF } \\ & \text { PROP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA | ALL | FALL 19 REPORT | CHANGE | STUDIO | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { BED } \\ 1 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { BED } \\ 2 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | $2 \text { BED }$ <br> TWNHS | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { BED } \\ & 2 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOWNTOWN PORTLAND (5) | 44 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 6.65 | 4.87 | 0.37 | 5.83 | 5.75 | 6.87 | 12.58 | 8 | 0 | 12.5 |
|  |  |  | 2.49 | 2.43 | 0.02 | 2.85 | 2.27 | 2.18 | 2.34 | 1.78 | 1.95 | 2.36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1172 | 1513 | 1763 | 2533 | 1734 | 1785 | 2797 |
|  |  |  | 2844 | 2689 |  | 1047 | 1304 | 131 | 326 | 25 | 3 | 8 |
| NW PORTLAND <br> (1) | 99 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 5.87 | 4.86 | 0.21 | 5.84 | 5.87 | 7.17 | 5.47 | 1.3 | 6.82 | 7.37 |
|  |  |  | 2.26 | 2.34 | -0.03 | 2.73 | 2.36 | 1.74 | 1.97 | 1.58 | 1.4 | 1.76 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1243 | 1643 | 1507 | 2048 | 1507 | 1439 | 2250 |
|  |  |  | 7341 | 8023 |  | 1249 | 3510 | 572 | 1699 | 77 | 44 | 190 |
| INNER \& CENTRAL SE PORTLAND <br> (13) | 166 | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ <br> AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ <br> SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 5.04 | 3.3 | 0.53 | 6.39 | 4.27 | 5.2 | 8.47 | 1.03 | 10.94 | 11.76 |
|  |  |  | 2.09 | 1.86 | 0.12 | 2.95 | 2.12 | 1.57 | 2.11 | 1.45 | 1.35 | 1.83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1183 | 1319 | 1291 | 2232 | 1346 | 1505 | 1901 |
|  |  |  | 4525 | 3994 |  | 736 | 2227 | 1039 | 248 | 194 | 64 | 17 |
| INNER \& CENTRAL NE PORTLAND(17) | 115 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% <br> AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 4.76 | 3.57 | 0.33 | 5.35 | 4.88 | 2.62 | 9.35 | 3.47 | 0 | 10.26 |
|  |  |  | 2.07 | 1.94 | 0.07 | 2.92 | 1.98 | 1.59 | 2.19 | 1.49 | 1.26 | 1.71 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1217 | 1289 | 1373 | 2258 | 1475 | 1445 | 1950 |
|  |  |  | 3191 | 4374 |  | 617 | 1680 | 496 | 139 | 202 | 18 | 39 |
| N PORTLAND \| ST JOHNS (18) | 29 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.37 | 4.7 | -0.28 | 4.02 | 3.14 | 3.92 | 3.42 | 1.72 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 2.04 | 1.87 | 0.09 | 2.57 | 2.1 | 1.54 | 1.91 | 1.45 | 1.33 | 1.79 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1254 | 1427 | 1240 | 2276 | 1162 | 1272 | 1760 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1451 | 1341 |  | 283 | 660 | 255 | 181 | 58 | 11 | 3 |
| SW PORTLAND <br> (6) | 46 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 5.27 | 5.01 | 0.05 | 6.25 | 5.56 | 2.81 | 8.81 | 0 | 3.77 | 4.17 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.92 | 1.92 | 0 | 2.54 | 2.12 | 1.52 | 1.73 | 1.53 | 1.25 | 1.36 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1173 | 1449 | 1239 | 1914 | 2084 | 1406 | 1591 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 2599 | 2854 |  | 192 | 1332 | 534 | 329 | 39 | 53 | 120 |
| OUTER SE PORTLAND(14) | 50 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 5.66 | 4.75 | 0.19 | 6.25 | 6.95 | 6.11 | 4.73 | 3.74 | 6 | 6.25 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.4 | 1.48 | -0.05 | 2.11 | 1.61 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.22 | 1.3 | 1.33 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 837 | 1048 | 1123 | 1329 | 1316 | 1276 | 1741 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 2439 | 2339 |  | 48 | 532 | 720 | 592 | 321 | 50 | 176 |
| OUTER NE PORTLAND (16) | 32 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.96 | 4.46 | -0.11 | 0 | 3.32 | 5.1 | 0 | 1.8 | 10 | 1.87 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.35 | 1.34 | 0.01 | 1.68 | 1.45 | 1.31 | 1.32 | 1.15 | 1.24 | 1.28 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 785 | 1008 | 1150 | 1233 | 1152 | 1495 | 1420 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1766 | 1344 |  | 25 | 542 | 922 | 49 | 111 | 10 | 107 |
| TROUTDALE \| FAIRVIEW WOOD VILLAGE | GRESHAM (15) | 36 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 5.05 | 4.08 | 0.24 | 8.97 | 5.37 | 4.97 | 5.07 | 3.02 | 0 | 4.91 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.44 | 1.43 | 0.01 | 2.15 | 1.67 | 1.35 | 1.34 | 1.46 | 1.08 | 1.36 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 908 | 1115 | 1223 | 1304 | 1494 | 1050 | 1626 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 3310 | 2966 |  | 78 | 726 | 725 | 1283 | 232 | 1 | 265 |
| CLACKAMAS <br> (12) | 8 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.03 | 3.96 | 0.02 | 0 | 2.94 | 2.75 | 6.31 | 6.25 | - | 3.07 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.5 | 1.48 | 0.01 | 2.07 | 1.7 | 1.43 | 1.35 | 1.36 | - | 1.41 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 953 | 1143 | 1256 | 1311 | 1307 | - | 1577 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1465 | 1465 |  | 44 | 408 | 327 | 491 | 32 | 0 | 163 |
| LAKE OSWEGO \| WEST LINN <br> (8) | 16 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 5.06 | 6.62 | -0.24 | 14.29 | 6.13 | 3.52 | 3.96 | 6.06 | - | 0 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 2.29 | 2.11 | 0.09 | 2.3 | 2.93 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 1.57 | - | 2.95 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1764 | 1801 | 1315 | 2113 | 1601 | - | 3932 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 771 | 1057 |  | 14 | 310 | 142 | 227 | 66 | 0 | 12 |
| MILWAUKIE <br> (11) | 30 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.72 | 3.51 | 0.06 | 2.17 | 4.3 | 3.85 | 4.09 | 1.59 | 0 | 4.55 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.47 | 1.5 | -0.02 | 2.22 | 1.6 | 1.43 | 1.46 | 1.21 | 1.38 | 1.37 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 786 | 1057 | 1191 | 1327 | 1205 | 1393 | 1543 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1719 | 1539 |  | 46 | 465 | 754 | 171 | 189 | 6 | 88 |
| OREGON CITY \| GLADSTONE (10) | 14 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.1 | 3.55 | 0.15 | 5 | 3.52 | 2.56 | 6.3 | 5.83 | 2.94 | 3.7 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.43 | 1.42 | 0.01 | 2.26 | 1.66 | 1.39 | 1.37 | 1.26 | 1.4 | 1.21 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1105 | 1061 | 1191 | 1401 | 1157 | 1350 | 1671 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1072 | 1041 |  | 20 | 256 | 313 | 238 | 103 | 34 | 108 |
| WILSONVILLE \| CANBY <br> (9) | 13 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.32 | 5.72 | -0.24 | 8.11 | 5.45 | 3.57 | 4.74 | 0 | 0 | 3.21 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.51 | 1.48 | 0.02 | 2.48 | 1.76 | 1.37 | 1.46 | 1.09 | 1.44 | 1.44 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1156 | 1216 | 1191 | 1421 | 1251 | 1350 | 1623 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1598 | 1976 |  | 37 | 330 | 392 | 570 | 49 | 2 | 218 |
| ALOHA <br> (3) | 39 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.83 | 4.95 | -0.23 | 0 | 3.66 | 3.38 | 4.61 | 2.08 | 0 | 3.92 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.53 | 1.56 | -0.02 | 2.35 | 1.75 | 1.44 | 1.47 | 1.37 | 1.58 | 1.42 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 992 | 1171 | 1248 | 1433 | 1534 | 1491 | 1617 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 6051 | 7711 |  | 30 | 1586 | 1422 | 2084 | 144 | 96 | 689 |

PロRTLAND／VANCロUVER METRG AREA

| AREA NAME | \＃OF PROP | DATA | ALL | FALL 19 REPORT | CHANGE | STUDIO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { BED } \\ 2 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | 2 BED TWNHS | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { BED } \\ & 2 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beAverton <br> （4） | 58 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 3.51 \\ 1.5 \\ 3787 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.53 \\ 1.5 \\ \\ 3879 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.01 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.63 \\ 2.3 \\ 924 \\ 76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.62 \\ 1.65 \\ 1131 \\ 1338 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.26 \\ 1.39 \\ 1258 \\ 1413 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.33 \\ 1.44 \\ 1458 \\ 521 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.66 \\ 1.48 \\ 1494 \\ 106 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.34 \\ 1.26 \\ 1364 \\ 128 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.9 \\ 1.31 \\ 1635 \\ 205 \end{array}$ |
| HILLSBORO｜N OF HWY 26 <br> （2） | 14 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 4.26 \\ 1.66 \\ 2275 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.66 \\ 1.59 \\ \\ 2684 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.25 \\ 0.04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.88 \\ 2.43 \\ 1241 \\ 68 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.98 \\ 1.89 \\ 1332 \\ 1005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.83 \\ 1.49 \\ 1379 \\ 206 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.68 \\ 1.43 \\ 1492 \\ 652 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1.31 \\ 1772 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2 \\ 1.38 \\ 1727 \\ 219 \end{array}$ |
| TIGARD｜TUALATIN SHERWOOD <br> （7） | 49 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 4.03 \\ 1.53 \\ \\ 4666 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.17 \\ 1.5 \\ 4818 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.03 \\ 0.02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.42 \\ 2.36 \\ 912 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.16 \\ 1.72 \\ 1147 \\ 1457 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.92 \\ 1.48 \\ 1229 \\ 1320 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.81 \\ 1.44 \\ 1427 \\ 1018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.81 \\ 1.33 \\ 1447 \\ 315 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.82 \\ 1.35 \\ 1362 \\ 165 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.33 \\ 1.33 \\ 1699 \\ 343 \end{array}$ |
| WEST VANCOUVER <br> （19） | 34 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 4.61 \\ 1.46 \\ 2561 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.45 \\ 1.38 \\ \\ 2576 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.34 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.38 \\ 2.22 \\ 1105 \\ 105 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.26 \\ 1.68 \\ 1178 \\ 752 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.5 \\ 1.39 \\ 1123 \\ 727 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.89 \\ 1.35 \\ 1361 \\ 509 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.24 \\ 1.19 \\ 1258 \\ 278 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.88 \\ 1.66 \\ 1445 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.62 \\ 1.13 \\ 1383 \\ 173 \end{array}$ |
| EAST VANCOUVER <br> （20） | 20 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 4.52 \\ 1.42 \\ \\ 3143 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.15 \\ 1.45 \\ \\ 3157 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ -0.02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.88 \\ 2.24 \\ 1071 \\ 82 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.27 \\ 1.58 \\ 1102 \\ 797 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.33 \\ 1.34 \\ 1173 \\ 675 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.51 \\ 1.37 \\ 1347 \\ 998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.76 \\ 1.24 \\ 1226 \\ 170 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1.19 \\ 1568 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.76 \\ 1.3 \\ 1471 \\ 420 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ |  |  | 4.69 | 4.42 | 0.06 | 5.86 | 4.55 | 4.44 | 5.38 | 2.89 | 3.41 | 4.21 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ |  |  | 1.75 | 1.74 | 0.01 | 2.72 | 1.96 | 1.45 | 1.56 | 1.34 | 1.36 | 1.38 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  |  |  | 1179 | 1318 | 1242 | 1577 | 1387 | 1401 | 1652 |
| TOTAL SUM OF PROPERTIES SURVEYED |  |  | 912 | 965 |  | 269 | 724 | 589 | 263 | 122 | 93 | 177 |
| TOTAL SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED |  |  | 58574 | 61827 |  | 4845 | 21217 | 13085 | 12325 | 2836 | 703 | 3563 |

VACANCY RATE SINCE SPRING 2ロ16－PロRTLAND／VANCロUVER METRロ AREA


| SALEM \＆VICINITY | 111 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ | 3.7 | 5.16 | -0.28-0.02 | 1.61 | 3.66 | 4.22 | 3.81 | 1.47 | 1.82 | 3.23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ | 1.29 | 1.31 |  | 1.73 | 1.45 | 1.24 | 1.28 | 1.16 | 1.21 | 1.22 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  | 853 | 935 | 1065 | 1238 | 1149 | 1389 | 1426 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 7083 | 7293 |  | 186 | 1450 | 3125 | 1419 | 476 | 55 | 372 |
| EUGENE \｜SRRINGFIELD | 83 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ | 5.1 | 4 | 0.28 | 5.32 | 4.02 | 3.77 | 6.31 | 8.18 | 3.33 | 3.36 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ | 1.41 | 1.37 | 0.03 | 2.22 | 1.52 | 1.28 | 1.34 | 1.29 | 1.26 | 1.22 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  | 759 | 992 | 1019 | 1417 | 1345 | 1299 | 1430 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 5215 | 5645 |  | 357 | 1269 | 1460 | 697 | 1015 | 60 | 357 |
| BEND｜REDMOND | 9 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ | 6.35 | 3.11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.04 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | 8.6 | 5 | 4.03 | 8.39 | 12.5 | 0 | 10.87 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ | 1.69 | 1.59 |  | 2.22 | 1.91 | 1.22 | 1.61 | 0.92 | 1 | 1.03 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  | 1269 | 1365 | 1064 | 1692 | 920 | 900 | 1266 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 740 | 836 |  | 93 | 300 | 149 | 143 | 8 | 1 | 46 |
| TOTAL AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ |  |  | 4.41 | 4.56 | －0．03 | 4.72 | 3.94 | 4.08 | 4.87 | 6.07 | 2.59 | 3.74 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ |  |  | 1.36 | 1.35 | 0.01 | 2.08 | 1.52 | 1.25 | 1.32 | 1.25 | 1.23 | 1.21 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  |  |  | 861 | 1002 | 1051 | 1322 | 1280 | 1338 | 1418 |
| TOTAL SUM OF PROPERTIES SURVEYED |  |  | 203 | 201 |  | 37 | 120 | 118 | 67 | 31 | 37 | 45 |
| TOTAL SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED |  |  | 13038 | 13774 |  | 636 | 3019 | 4734 | 2259 | 1499 | 116 | 775 |

Surveys received from $\operatorname{Sec} 42, \operatorname{Sec} 8$ and other subsidized affordable housing programs are not included in the current survey data．

## TREND REPロRT ：PロRTLAND METRロ AREA

CoStar：Search criteria—Research Status：Published；Market：Portland；PropType：Multi Family；Sale Date：4／1／2017—3／31／20；unit： 5 units and greater．





| YEAR | 2Q17 | 3Q17 | 4Q17 | 1Q18 | 2Q18 | 3Q18 | 4Q18 | 1Q19 | 2Q19 | 3Q19 | 4Q19 | 1Q20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \＃OF TRANS | 101 | 82 | 84 | 87 | 72 | 83 | 119 | 73 | 72 | 77 | 105 | 54 |
| TTL \＄VOLUME | \＄254，214，744 | \＄450，852，533 | \＄669，554，842 | \＄488，503，050 | \＄263，766，901 | \＄669，033，707 | \＄898，431，120 | \＄389，405，022 | \＄405，864，873 | \＄900，164，810 | \＄629，427，032 | \＄363，213，495 |
| TIL BLDG SF | 2，531，586 | 3，217，384 | 2，761，998 | 2，901，521 | 1，878，597 | 3，231，649 | 4，405，365 | 2，570，652 | 2，346，916 | 3，525，970 | 3，600，077 | 2，201，883 |
| TTL UNITS | 2，830 | 3，123 | 3，444 | 3，063 | 1，955 | 3，064 | 4，973 | 2，872 | 2，490 | 3，466 | 3，390 | 2，185 |
| AVG PRICE | \＄4，100，238 | \＄8，197，319 | \＄11，348，387 | \＄7，879，081 | \＄5，275，338 | \＄11，737，433 | \＄10，569，778 | \＄6，953，661 | \＄6，879，066 | \＄13，638，861 | \＄9，122，131 | \＄9，816，581 |
| AVG \＃OF SF | 25，065 | 39，721 | 32，881 | 33，351 | 26，092 | 38，936 | 37，020 | 35，214 | 32，596 | 45，792 | 34，286 | 40，776 |
| AVG \＄BLDG SF | \＄155．57 | \＄200．04 | \＄296．41 | \＄207．86 | \＄156．16 | \＄252．32 | \＄226．27 | \＄201．21 | \＄196．23 | \＄263．32 | \＄206．46 | \＄244．04 |
| MED \＄P／SF | \＄148．65 | \＄152．08 | \＄186．72 | \＄174．32 | \＄143．67 | \＄165．88 | \＄188．41 | \＄160．24 | \＄160．96 | \＄192．03 | \＄180．33 | \＄191．94 |
| AVG \＄P／UNIT | \＄138，386 | \＄195，183 | \＄230，167 | \＄188，757 | \＄151，852 | \＄269，446 | \＄202，806 | \＄194，703 | \＄188，599 | \＄262，028 | \＄218，855 | \＄243，767 |
| MED \＄P／UNIT | \＄129，023 | \＄132，066 | \＄166，000 | \＄145，359 | \＄138，358 | \＄148，000 | \＄152，273 | \＄152，230 | \＄145，833 | \＄160，000 | \＄153，600 | \＄163，000 |
| AVG \＃OF UNITS | 28 | 39 | 41 | 35 | 27 | 37 | 42 | 39 | 35 | 46 | 32 | 40 |
| ACTUAL CAP RATE | 5．71\％ | 5．59\％ | 5．21\％ | 5．43\％ | 5．31\％ | 5．45\％ | 5．49\％ | 5．67\％ | 5．74\％ | 5．17\％ | 5．48\％ | 5．34\％ |
| AVG GRM | 13.75 | 11.16 | 13.64 | 13.87 | 10.25 | 11.20 | 12.99 | 12.81 | 12.63 | 11.94 | 12.92 | 12.50 |
| AVG GIM | － | 9.01 | － | － | － | 9.88 | － | － | － | － | － | － |



```
SPRING 2ロ2ロ APARTMENT CロNSTRUCTIロN UPDATE
    Patrick O. Barry, Barry & Associates
```

In 2019，apartment construction in Portland Metro continued to show strength as job and population growth remained solid，though below previous years．The year end 2019 figures from the Census Bureau reported permits for 8,700 units in Portland Metro， which was up 14 percent from 2018，though 1,600 units below our peak in 2017．During 2019，the share of apartment permits in Multnomah County decreased as development picked up in Washington and Clark County． Development in Clackamas County remains slow．Despite Clackamas County accounting for 18 percent of the population in the four－county Metro area，only once since 2013 has the share of total units under construction exceeded 7 percent of units under construction metro wide．


APARTMENT PERMITS ISSUED BY CロUNTY 2ロロ8－2ロ19


APARTMENT PERMITS 2ロロ8－2ロ19 FロUR CロUNTY METRロ AREA


Based on data from CoStar，peak apartment completions occurred in 2019 as at least 6，500 units were finished．This surge of completions represents the final wave of projects that were approved prior to the passage of Inclusionary Housing in early 2017．Due to slower job and population growth across the Metro area，absorption decreased to around 5,150 units in 2019，according to CoStar． Completions in 2019 outpacing absorptions helps explain the increase in vacancies across the Metro area，though in particular at newer apartments．During 2019，developers remained confident in the market，though understood that job and population growth in Portland Metro was slowing． As of early 2020，CoStar reports that 7，100 units were currently under construction in Portland Metro．

As we enter Spring 2020，the world is suddenly a different place．The health，economic，and regulatory impacts of COVID－19 has shifted the development landscape．As of late March 2020，there is considerable uncertainty regarding the impact of COVID－19 on the apartment market and a timeline for recovery．Many economists have tied an economic recovery directly to our ability to contain the COVID－19 virus and restore confidence．



- Participants reported that projects in the planning stages are being delayed or put on hold, and some projects in the initial stages of construction will be mothballed. Final decisions to proceed will be considered when the long-term impacts on the economy are better defined.
- Participants were confident that many of the projects currently in the planning stages will proceed at some point. However, it was estimated that $20 \%$ to $25 \%$ of planned projects will be scrapped completely.
- One participant reported that projects in the planning stages will move forward, though will intentionally be pushed back as far as possible to allow maximum time for recovery. Two additional participants reported that development delays will occur due to work restrictions for many planning departments and construction workers.
- Lending will become more of a challenge as some lenders exit the business of construction loans and others will turn more conservative. The tightening regulations and higher equity requirements will exclude developers with tighter margins.
- Two participants reported serious concerns regarding the future political landscape, which could drive development decisions. One participant was concerned that rental regulations would further tighten as job losses turn homeowners back to renters at a time when fewer apartments projects will be moving forward. Two participants reported concerns that the City of Portland will temporarily ban rental increases if the economic conditions do not improve quickly.
- There are substantial concerns regarding projects that are in lease-up or will be beginning lease up during spring 2020. Economic uncertainty coupled with "stay at home" orders will decrease the movement of tenants and buildings in lease up during 2020 will be impacted. Buildings in lease up will be further impacted by reported difficulties in attaining bridge loans. Some foreclosures on new projects may be inevitable if conditions do not improve in a timely manner.

Fundamentals in 2019 remained strong and construction showed little sign of slowing. 2020 will be a different story. The uncertainty regarding the impact of COVID-19 will slow future development as many builders are taking a wait and see approach. Given the possible depth of this downturn, most developers will wait for additional market clarity before deciding on whether to proceed.

## คค円 Apartment Report

Thank you to all who contributed to the making of this report．

## AFFINITY PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

AMERICAN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
AVENUE5 RESIDENTIAL
BARKER \＆CALKINS，INC．

## BLUESTONE \＆HOCKLEY REAL ESTATE SERVICES

BRISTOL URBAN APARTMENTS
C\＆R REAL ESTATE SERVICES
CAPITAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SERVICES，INC．
CASCADE MANAGEMENT，INC．
CTL MANAGEMENT，INC．
DALTON MANAGEMENT
FORE PROPERTY COMPANY
FORTRESS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
FPI MANAGEMENT
GREYSTAR
GUARDIAN REAL ESTATE SERVICES
HOLLAND RESIDENTIAL
INCOME PROPERTY MANAGEMENT JENNINGS GROUP INCORPORATED

KBC MANAGEMENT，INC．
KEN LEAVENS PROPERTIES，INC．
LIBERTY MANAGEMENT GROUP
MDI，LLC
MILESTONE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
MILL CREEK RESIDENTIAL
PINNACLE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
PRINCETON PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
PROMETHEUS REAL ESTATE GROUP
QUANTUM RESIDENTIAL
RCM PROPERTIES
REGENCY MANAGEMENT，INC．
SAGE APARTMENT COMMUNITIES
SCHAEFFER \＆ASSOCIATES
STERLING MANAGEMENT GROUP，INC．
WPL ASSOCIATES
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