## PロLITICAL IMPACT ロN MARKET

## Craig McConachie，Cछ刃R Real Estate Services，Company，Apartment Report Committee

Seven months into a rent－controlled and legislatively restricted market，Oregon is starting to see signs of the impact．New construction is slowing，and investors are losing confidence，as the political climate continues to be very challenging for landlords． Portland＇s four county Metro area will see slightly over 8,000 permitted units this year，down from a peak of 10,300 in 2017. Apartment sales volume declined earlier this year，but recently a few large sales have brought volume to a more normalized rate． Sales in Vancouver are up significantly as investors look to escape Oregon＇s rent cap and restrictive regulations．Rising cap rates have been mitigated by declining interest rates，and the consensus is that we are entering a buyer＇s market．Despite the real need for more affordable housing，legislative efforts appear to be hindering the ability of the private market to produce it．Rent growth is slowing，but rent rates continue to rise and vacancy levels are stable．The maximum rent increase in Oregon for 2020 has been published and stands at $9.9 \%$ ．This cap applies to apartments over 15 years old．

## Portland／Vancouver

VACANCY：
The Portland／Vancouver vacancy factor improved from our Spring report，and currently stands at $\mathbf{4 . 4 2 \%}$ ．Inner and Central SE and West Vancouver have the lowest vacancy factors，both under 3．5\％．Only four of the remaining eighteen surveyed areas are under $4 \%$ ，with all others between $4 \%$ and $7 \%$ ．The highest vacancies are found in Lake Oswego／West Linn at $6.6 \%$ ，followed by Wilsonville and Hillsboro at $5.7 \%$ ．Both Downtown and Northwest Portland have seen significant improvement in the past six months as newly constructed units are starting to be absorbed．Both areas are now
under 5\％．Three－bedroom，one bath units have the best occupancy of all unit types，with average vacancy of $3.7 \%$ ．Studio units have the highest vacancy of $5 \%$ ．This survey excludes new projects in the lease－up phase that haven＇t reached stability，unless they are over one year old or over $85 \%$ occupied．

## RENT RATES：

Overall rent rates have continued to push upward．As the building boom continues，and our survey unit count grows，it＇s important to note that our report includes an increased number of new projects that push the average rates higher．Rent increases in class＂$B$＂and ＂ C ＂are more stable and increasing at a lesser rate．The survey does not differentiate by product class or age．Rent increases have averaged $4 \%$ since our Spring survey，but do not represent＂effective＂rent rates．Four of the twenty surveyed areas experienced declining rents．All of which were very minor reductions of $1 \%$ or $2 \%$ ．Lake Oswego shows a $32 \%$ increase in rent rates，but that is caused by the addition of a new，class＂A＂project， and not indicative of the market segment as a whole．

Average rent per sq．ft．for all areas combined is $\$ 1.74$ ．The Downtown core area has the highest rates at $\$ 2.43$ ，with NW Portland coming in second at $\$ 2.34$ ，and Lake Oswego third with $\$ 2.11$ ．Outer NE Portland and West Vancouver continue to have some of the lowest rates，at $\$ 1.34$ and $\$ 1.38$ respectively．It＇s interesting to note that in certain areas one－bedroom units have higher rents than two－bedroom units．This is due to the older age of the projects with two－bedrooms and the prevalence of smaller units in newly constructed properties．

Overall average rents per unit type－Portland：

| UNIT TYPE | FALL 19 | SPR 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Studio | $\$ 1183$ | $\$ 1170$ |
| $1 \mathrm{bdrm} / 1 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1293$ | $\$ 1268$ |
| $2 \mathrm{bdrm} / 1 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1250$ | $\$ 1214$ |
| $2 \mathrm{bdrm} / 2 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1588$ | $\$ 1530$ |
| 2 bdrm townhome | $\$ 1434$ | $\$ 1408$ |
| $3 \mathrm{bdrm} / 1 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1378$ | $\$ 1286$ |
| $3 \mathrm{bdrm} / 2 \mathrm{bth}$ | $\$ 1697$ | $\$ 1610$ |
| （continued on page 2）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． |  |  |
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## PロRTLAND METRロ AREA

```
MULTNロMAH CロUNTY
(5) DOWNTOWN PORTLAND
(1) NW PORTLAND
(13) INNER & CENTRAL SE (PTLD)
(17) INNER & CENTRAL NE (PTLD)
(18) NORTH PORTLAND | ST.JOHNS
(6) SW PORTLAND
(14) OUTER SE (PORTLAND)
(16) OUTER NE (PORTLAND)
(15) TROUTDALE | FAIRVIEW
WOOD VILLAGE | GRESHAM
CLACKAMAS CロUNTY
(12) CLACKAMAS
8) LAKE OSWEGO | WEST LINN
(11) MILWAUKIE
(10) OREGON CITY | GLADSTONE
(9) WILSONVILLE|CANBY
```

WASHINGTロN CロபNTY
（3）ALOHA
（4）BEAVERTON
（2）HILLSBORO｜NORTH OF HWY 26
（7）TIGARD｜TUALATIN｜SHERWOOD
CLARK CロUNTY
（19）WEST VANCOUVER
（20）EAST VANCOUVER

（continued from page 1）．

## MARKET CONDITIONS：

Over 7\％of all Metro properties are offering rental incentives，with aggressive deals being offered in Class A projects in lease－up phase． Incentives are most aggressive in Downtown，with 20\％of the surveyed properties offering incentives．The average number of days that a unit will stay vacant for the entire Metro area is 32．Hillsboro， Aloha and Clackamas continue to experience over two months average vacant days between tenants．The Inner and Central SE area is averaging only 10 days between tenants and North Portland／St．Johns is also quick to rent，with a 14－day turnover time．

## Other Areas

The Salem market has seen continued softening and has a current vacancy rate over 5\％．Salem rents have increased an average of 5．5\％ year over year．Vacancies in larger two and three bedroom units are particularly high．The Bend／Redmond area has returned to more normal vacancy numbers，averaging slightly over $3 \%$ ，with rents seeing a 3\％increase since last Spring．The vacancy rate in Eugene／Springfield has decreased to $4 \%$ ，but rents have remained flat．Over 20\％of surveyed properties in Eugene／Springfield are offering incentives．

## Our Contributors

Liz Tilbury，with Tilbury Ferguson Investment Real Estate，has submitted an article discussing the effect that state and local laws and ordinances are having on both buyers and sellers of apartments．She points out that sales volume is down from 2018 and a shift to a buyer＇s market is taking place，although some sellers haven＇t recognized it yet． She has seen institutional buyers shying away from Oregon due to the burden of new regulations，and lender underwriting for unreinforced masonry buildings（URMs）has been difficult to obtain．Liz points out that＂$B$ \＆$C$ projects are performing solidly．．．whereas a number of urban projects are continuing to struggle with all the competition．＂

Patrick Barry，from Barry and Associates，examines the overall issues in play throughout the Metro area．He notes how the political climate is having a negative impact on sales and values，and the current gap between buyer and seller expectations has produced a $30 \%$ decrease in sales volume on an annualized basis．He predicts that 8,300 units will be permitted this year．Rent growth will continue to slow due to the large number of units nearing completion，but the＂Portland apartment market should remain stable，as we return to a more normal market．＂

The increased legal and political challenges for landlords are discussed in an article submitted by Jeffrey S．Bennett，Attorney at Law．With the passage of Senate Bill 608，＂．．．landlords now face significant restrictions on rent increases and no cause notices of termination．＂ The 2019 legislative session saw the disbandment of the landlord／tenant coalition，further eroding consensus building in Salem．Jeff notes that ＂．．．we live in an era saturated by a burgeoning body of statutes， ordinances and appellate law，the likes of which we＇ve never seen before．＂Sounds like we could all use a good lawyer．

Josh Lehner，State Economist from the Oregon Office of Economic Analysis，discusses both the national and state economic picture． He notes that＂．．．for the first time in more than 50 years，Oregon has surpassed the national median household income．＂Josh points out that the risk of recession is rising，but the economy is largely doing well．

This survey represents a total of 75,601 units from 1,166 properties． All of the articles have been reprinted without editing the content， in order to present unbiased opinions．We＇d like to thank all of the management companies and property owners who have submitted information．Their participation is critical in insuring the accuracy of our data and the continued success of this report．■
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SURVEY RESULTS——FALL 2ロ19
PGRTLAND/VANCIUVER METRG AREA

| AREA NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# OF } \\ & \text { PROP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA | ALL | SPR 19 <br> REPORT | CHANGE | STUDIO | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { BED } \\ 1 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { BED } \\ 2 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | $2 \text { BED }$ <br> TWNHS | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { BED } \\ 1 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { BED } \\ 2 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOWNTOWN PORTLAND <br> (5) | 42 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.87 | 6.46 | -0.25 | 4.67 | 3.99 | 7.33 | 7 | 17.24 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 2.43 | 2.4 | 0.01 | 2.81 | 2.27 | 1.99 | 2.32 | 1.95 | 1.74 | 1.92 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1120 | 1487 | 1601 | 2612 | 2022 | 1655 | 4200 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 2689 | 2027 |  | 878 | 1329 | 150 | 300 | 29 | 1 | 2 |
| NW PORTLAND <br> (1) | 124 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.86 | 7.88 | -0.38 | 5.43 | 4.57 | 6.01 | 4.66 | 6.12 | 11.9 | 3.54 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 2.34 | 2.23 | 0.05 | 2.84 | 2.38 | 1.77 | 2.03 | 1.78 | 1.45 | 1.98 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1350 | 1645 | 1530 | 2118 | 1753 | 1423 | 2620 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 8023 | 5840 |  | 1583 | 3935 | 466 | 1694 | 49 | 42 | 254 |
| INNER \& CENTRAL SE PORTLAND <br> (13) | 191 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.3 | 5.4 | -0.39 | 3.75 | 3.49 | 3.55 | 2.84 | 0.54 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.86 | 1.9 | -0.02 | 2.69 | 1.9 | 1.54 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.25 | 1.26 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1112 | 1176 | 1284 | 1920 | 1258 | 1382 | 1600 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 3994 | 3538 |  | 533 | 1779 | 1212 | 211 | 185 | 52 | 22 |
| INNER \& CENTRAL NE PORTLAND <br> (17) | 140 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.57 | 4.13 | -0.14 | 7.17 | 4.52 | 2.99 | 0.99 | 1.9 | 0 | 2.13 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.94 | 1.88 | 0.03 | 2.85 | 1.9 | 1.61 | 1.84 | 1.47 | 1.29 | 1.47 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1176 | 1214 | 1317 | 1899 | 1431 | 1480 | 1623 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 4374 | 3753 |  | 586 | 1726 | 670 | 1108 | 211 | 26 | 47 |
| N PORTLAND \| ST JOHNS (18) | 35 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.7 | 3.9 | 0.21 | 6.19 | 4.59 | 2.22 | 9.62 | 0 | 8.33 | 0 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.87 | 1.86 | 0.01 | 2.43 | 1.89 | 1.68 | 1.61 | 1.44 | 1.38 | 1.54 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1072 | 1285 | 1337 | 2025 | 1147 | 1350 | 1380 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1341 | 1153 |  | 210 | 588 | 315 | 156 | 59 | 12 | 1 |
| SW PORTLAND (6) | 56 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 5.01 | 4.97 | 0.01 | 4.68 | 5.36 | 5.51 | 4.19 | 0 | 1.61 | 5.15 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.92 | 1.92 | 0 | 2.65 | 2.1 | 1.48 | 1.75 | 1.4 | 1.29 | 1.4 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1222 | 1466 | 1200 | 1937 | 1539 | 1479 | 1711 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 2854 | 2734 |  | 235 | 1437 | 563 | 382 | 39 | 62 | 136 |
| OUTER SE PORTLAND(14) | 35 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.75 | 4.27 | 0.11 | 3.82 | 4.83 | 5.43 | 3.77 | 7.27 | 10.53 | 3.07 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.48 | 1.51 | -0.02 | 2.23 | 1.67 | 1.36 | 1.34 | 1.26 | 1.17 | 1.32 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 926 | 1035 | 1111 | 1326 | 1360 | 1095 | 1671 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 2339 | 2390 |  | 157 | 600 | 516 | 664 | 220 | 19 | 163 |
| OUTER NE PORTLAND (16) | 22 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.46 | 5.56 | -0.2 | 0 | 3.72 | 5.13 | 0 | 7.69 | 0 | 5.81 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.34 | 1.29 | 0.04 | 1.84 | 1.42 | 1.3 | 1.26 | 1.11 | 1.1 | 1.32 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 799 | 1012 | 1140 | 1181 | 1224 | 1381 | 1419 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1344 | 1296 |  | 19 | 457 | 663 | 58 | 52 | 9 | 86 |
| TROUTDALE \\| FAIRVIEW WOOD VILLAGE \| GRESHAM (15) | 32 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.08 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 5.85 | 3.48 | 3.87 | 4.67 | - | 2.38 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.43 | 1.36 | 0.05 | 2.3 | 1.68 | 1.34 | 1.29 | 1.53 | - | 1.33 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 966 | 1091 | 1252 | 1263 | 1552 | - | 1723 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 2966 | 2144 |  | 77 | 530 | 719 | 982 | 364 | 0 | 294 |
| CLACKAMAS <br> (12) | 8 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.96 | 4.05 | -0.02 | 0 | 3.68 | 4.59 | 3.67 | 12.5 | - | 3.68 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.48 | 1.44 | 0.03 | 2.03 | 1.66 | 1.41 | 1.37 | 1.35 | - | 1.41 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 932 | 1120 | 1241 | 1324 | 1295 | - | 1577 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1465 | 1037 |  | 44 | 408 | 327 | 491 | 32 | 0 | 163 |
| LAKE OSWEGO \| WEST LINN <br> (8) | 15 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 6.62 | 3.36 | 0.97 | 0 | 9.19 | 2.68 | 4.78 | 10.61 | - | 2.99 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 2.11 | 1.6 | 0.32 | 2.35 | 2.41 | 1.49 | 2.12 | 1.55 | - | 1.91 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1806 | 1596 | 1319 | 2151 | 1582 | - | 2404 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1057 | 744 |  | 14 | 468 | 149 | 293 | 66 | 0 | 67 |
| MILWAUKIE <br> (11) | 22 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.51 | 4.16 | -0.16 | 1.85 | 3 | 3.77 | 2.52 | 3.92 | 0 | 6.82 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0 | 2.18 | 1.6 | 1.44 | 1.46 | 1.18 | 1.3 | 1.43 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 785 | 1038 | 1192 | 1379 | 1158 | 1353 | 1593 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1539 | 1779 |  | 54 | 466 | 663 | 159 | 102 | 7 | 88 |
| OREGON CITY \| GLADSTONE (10) | 13 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.55 | 4.86 | -0.27 | 6.9 | 2.53 | 2.69 | 3.36 | 4.92 | 5.41 | 5.08 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.42 | 1.39 | 0.02 | 2.03 | 1.61 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.4 | 1.36 | 1.28 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 947 | 1039 | 1166 | 1384 | 1196 | 1312 | 1704 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1041 | 803 |  | 29 | 237 | 260 | 238 | 122 | 37 | 118 |
| WILSONVILLE \\| CANBY (9) | 16 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 5.72 | 5.76 | -0.01 | 2.7 | 5.59 | 5.52 | 5.18 | 6.17 | 0 | 7.8 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.48 | 1.46 | 0.01 | 2.46 | 1.74 | 1.33 | 1.47 | 1.18 | 1.38 | 1.4 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1148 | 1203 | 1116 | 1435 | 1425 | 1300 | 1605 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1976 | 2710 |  | 37 | 376 | 580 | 618 | 81 | 2 | 282 |
| ALOHA <br> (3) | 42 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.95 | 3.66 | 0.35 | 4.76 | 4.84 | 4.26 | 5.06 | 4.37 | 7.69 | 6.12 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.56 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 2.23 | 1.8 | 1.46 | 1.46 | 1.41 | 1.57 | 1.4 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1054 | 1226 | 1287 | 1455 | 1722 | 1588 | 1623 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 7711 | 6446 |  | 42 | 2337 | 1410 | 2768 | 252 | 52 | 850 |

PGRTLAND／VANCIUVER METRG AREA

| AREA NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# OF } \\ & \text { PROP } \end{aligned}$ | DATA | ALL | SPR 19 REPORT | CHANGE | STUDIO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { BED } \\ 2 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | 2 BED TWNHS | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { BED } \\ 1 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { BED } \\ 2 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beAverton <br> （4） | 54 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 3.53 \\ 1.5 \\ 3879 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.76 \\ 1.49 \\ \\ 3090 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.26 \\ 0.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.43 \\ 2.24 \\ 961 \\ 92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.42 \\ 1.66 \\ 1133 \\ 1376 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.7 \\ 1.38 \\ 1241 \\ 1334 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.64 \\ 1.46 \\ 1489 \\ 621 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.83 \\ 1.43 \\ 1444 \\ 103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.33 \\ 1.21 \\ 1309 \\ 120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.72 \\ 1.33 \\ 1674 \\ 233 \end{array}$ |
| HILLSBORO｜N OF HWY 26 <br> （2） | 18 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 5.66 \\ 1.59 \\ \\ 2684 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.46 \\ 1.6 \\ 1098 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ -0.01 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.11 \\ 2.35 \\ 1216 \\ 73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.26 \\ 1.78 \\ 1256 \\ 1183 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.79 \\ 1.45 \\ 1306 \\ 307 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.61 \\ 1.42 \\ 1477 \\ 738 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.58 \\ 1.29 \\ 1733 \\ 131 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.09 \\ 1240 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.39 \\ 1.36 \\ 1699 \\ 236 \end{array}$ |
| TIGARD｜TUALATIN SHERWOOD <br> （7） | 51 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 4.17 \\ 1.5 \\ 4818 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 1.51 \\ 4399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.13 \\ & -0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.22 \\ 2.38 \\ 908 \\ 45 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.68 \\ 1.7 \\ 1134 \\ 1493 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.6 \\ 1.43 \\ 1188 \\ 1325 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.7 \\ 1.42 \\ 1400 \\ 1188 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.45 \\ 1.33 \\ 1463 \\ 275 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.42 \\ 1.34 \\ 1355 \\ 165 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.42 \\ 1.34 \\ 1624 \\ 327 \end{array}$ |
| WEST VANCOUVER (19) | 28 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 3.45 \\ 1.38 \\ \\ 2576 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.38 \\ 1.38 \\ 2380 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.36 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1.12 \\ 686 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.75 \\ 1.56 \\ 1065 \\ 826 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.16 \\ 1.38 \\ 1116 \\ 555 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.78 \\ 1.31 \\ 1368 \\ 774 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.75 \\ 1.22 \\ 1334 \\ 240 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1.28 \\ 1600 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1.11 \\ 1393 \\ 164 \end{array}$ |
| EAST VANCOUVER <br> （20） | 21 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | $\begin{array}{r} 4.15 \\ 1.45 \\ \\ 3157 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.01 \\ 1.36 \\ 2717 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.43 \\ 2.13 \\ 1028 \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.72 \\ 1.54 \\ 1073 \\ 763 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.35 \\ 1.56 \\ 1377 \\ 805 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.17 \\ 1.31 \\ 1321 \\ 960 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.17 \\ 1.26 \\ 1092 \\ 138 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1.14 \\ 1501 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.81 \\ 1.35 \\ 1537 \\ 420 \end{array}$ |
| TOTAL AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ |  |  | 4.42 | 4.96 | －0．11 | 4.96 | 4.52 | 4.17 | 4.28 | 4.58 | 3.69 | 4.48 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ |  |  | 1.74 | 1.68 | 0.04 | 2.69 | 1.92 | 1.46 | 1.56 | 1.38 | 1.31 | 1.41 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  |  |  | 1183 | 1293 | 1250 | 1588 | 1434 | 1378 | 1697 |
| TOTAL SUM OF PROPERTIES SURVEYED |  |  | 965 | 709 |  | 295 | 783 | 599 | 279 | 113 | 91 | 186 |
| TOTAL SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED |  |  | 61827 | 52078 |  | 4794 | 22314 | 12989 | 14403 | 2750 | 624 | 3953 |

VACANCY RATE SINCE SPRING 2ロ15—PロRTLAND／VANCロபVER METRD AREA


| ロTHER AREAS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALEM \＆VICINITY | 98 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ | 5.16 | 4.62 | 0.12 | 4.6 | 5.44 | 4.92 | 4.55 | 8.63 | 9.2 | 3.94 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ | 1.31 | 1.28 | 0.02 | 1.88 | 1.52 | 1.27 | 1.21 | 1.12 | 1.48 | 1.16 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  | 855 | 929 | 1064 | 1168 | 1130 | 1310 | 1324 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 7293 | 6279 |  | 174 | 1506 | 3253 | 1450 | 417 | 87 | 406 |
| EUGENE \｜SPRINGFIELD | 93 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ | 4 | 4.59 | －0．13 | 5.49 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.56 | 2.37 | 7.58 | 4.8 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ | 1.37 | 1.37 | 0 | 2.08 | 1.44 | 1.24 | 1.33 | 1.24 | 1.2 | 1.24 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  | 757 | 980 | 1077 | 1424 | 1293 | 1198 | 1476 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 5645 | 4875 |  | 364 | 1735 | 1485 | 1030 | 548 | 66 | 417 |
| BEND｜REDMOND | 10 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ | 3.11 | 7.62 | －0．59 | 1.08 | 1.59 | 8.82 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 | 6.67 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ | 1.59 | 1.55 | 0.03 | 2.02 | 1.85 | 1.22 | 1.47 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 1.1 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  | 1154 | 1324 | 1061 | 1490 | 975 | 1075 | 1294 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 836 | 420 |  | 93 | 314 | 170 | 189 | 8 | 2 | 60 |
| TOTAL AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \％ |  |  | 4.56 | 4.72 | －0．03 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.69 | 4.23 | 5.14 | 8.39 | 4.53 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \＄ |  |  | 1.35 | 1.33 | 0.02 | 2.02 | 1.51 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.19 | 1.35 | 1.19 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \＄ |  |  |  |  |  | 842 | 989 | 1068 | 1290 | 1221 | 1259 | 1394 |
| TOTAL SUM OF PROPERTIES SURVEYED |  |  | 201 | 196 |  | 38 | 121 | 121 | 68 | 28 | 39 | 49 |
| TOTAL SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED |  |  | 13774 | 11574 |  | 631 | 3555 | 4908 | 2669 | 973 | 155 | 883 |
| Surveys received from Sec $42, \operatorname{Sec} 8$ and other subsidized affordable housing programs are not included in the current survey data． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TREND REPロRT ：PロRTLAND METRロ AREA

CoStar：Search criteria－Research Status：Published；Market：Portland；PropType：Multi Family；Sale Date：10／1／2016－9／30／19；unit： 5 units and greater．






## LIVING IN INTERESTING TIMES

Jeffrey S. Bennett, Attorney at Law, Warren Allen LLP


At the risk of misstating a historical quote, we now live in "interesting times." More specifically, we live in an era saturated by a burgeoning body of statutes, ordinances and appellate law, the likes of which we've never seen before. The pace at which this legal landscape has expanded poses myriad challenges for landlords and makes property management...interesting.

The 2019 Oregon legislative session was unique: The disbandment of the landlord/tenant coalition (the group of landlord and tenant advocates which historically formulated amendments to the Oregon Residential Landlord and Tenant Act) relegated the development of new and amended landlord/tenant laws to the legislature. Setting aside the emotional, political and media-driven underpinnings for the enactment of - and fall out from - Senate Bill 608, landlords now face significant restrictions on rent increases and no cause notices of termination. A landlord's ability to navigate the scope and breadth of these restrictions - which impose significant administrative burdens and require heightened degrees of legal acumen - has taken
a position of paramount importance... and for good reason: Every newly enacted law compounds the risk that a misguided landlord will be sued for violating the same.

A casual observer may perceive Senate Bill 608 and other new laws as articulate primers on rent increases, no cause notices and relocation assistance payment obligations. However, multiple ambiguities are instead triggering risk assessments, as landlords strive to align their tasks with future interpretations of those ambiguities. Further, landlords already left reeling by local municipalities' enactment of new ordinances on emergency bases must further prognosticate the effect future amendments may have on their practices. In other words, landlords must align their practices with past, present and future laws, regardless of their clarity or predictability.

As this article is being written, Oregon has already published the 2020 rent increase cap (9.9\%), Portland is still wrestling with a pending draft of the Portland Renter Additional Protections ordinance, Portland's Fair Access in Renting ordinance is teed up to take effect in $2020 \ldots$ and the rumblings of future changes to the ORLTA are already sounding throughout the community. Yes, these are interesting times. Alas, prior decades were similarly interesting. Refinement and modernization have always laid at the core of landlords' practices, carried them through prior decades, and will remain focal points for future times. ■
Jeffrey S. Bennett is a partner in the Portland law firm of Warren Allen LLP. A member of the Oregon, Washington and Idaho state bars, Mr. Bennett is the head of his firm's Landlord law department, and is a Hearing Officer for the Clackamas County Housing Authority. He has specialized in residential and commercial landlord/tenant law for the past two decades, and is also a business and real estate attorney. Mr. Bennett represents many of the largest regional and national property management companies doing business in Oregon and Washington all the way down to owners of single family residential homes and small office complexes.


## NAVIGATING THE APARTMENT SALES MARKET

Liz Tilbury, CCIM Tilbury Ferguson Investment Real Estate



It's been a tumultuous time in the market with buyers, sellers and property managers trying to navigate through all the changes in the past year, hoping to chart the best path going forward.

Demand is still strong for well-located properties. But gone are the days when the sellers of even prime projects could name almost any price and expect multiple competing offers.

It is surprising that buyers, even in the City of Portland, are still looking for upside in spite of the mandatory rent caps and relocation fees. Given the new legislation, logic would dictate that "value added" rather than "value add" would be the product of choice, but such is not the case.


Affordability continues to be key in the rental market. The B $\& \mathrm{C}$ projects are performing solidly, often with $100 \%$ occupancy and no concessions whereas a number of urban projects are continuing to struggle with all the competition.

Sales volume for 2019 YTD is down from 2018 and the market has been shifting to more of a buyer's market although buyers have been quicker to recognize this than sellers. Even well located, desirable properties need to make some economic sense. Cap rates were clearly on the rise until the precipitous drop in interest rates, which has increased demand and improved returns by virtue of positive leverage. At a $3.75 \%$ interest rate, even a 5\% cap rate looks attractive.

Micro-management of rental housing by the City of Portland has caused some owners, especially those who self-manage, to exit the market. Many of these people have owned their buildings for many years and have seen very significant appreciation, but now are so burdened by all the new regulations that they feel control being taken out of their hands. They are choosing to re-invest in other markets or, in some cases, take their profits and cash out.

Today's low interest rates may contribute to a shortage of properties for sale in the future. The very attractive rates have prompted a number of owners to refinance and pull out some of their equity tax free rather than sell and struggle to find a replacement property. Since almost all new loans have prepayment penalties, it will be expensive, and in some cases prohibitive, to pay these off and will likely discourage some owners from selling, restricting inventory.


There is no question that the new statewide laws have cast a pall on Oregon. It has especially impacted the institutional market since those buyers are active nationally and not loyal to Portland or Oregon. Some of the institutional demand has shifted to other parts of the country. Boise is hot, as are Las Vegas and Austin.

It is increasingly difficult to find lenders for Unreinforced Masonry Buildings (URM's.) Many of these buildings, which are well located and historic, are among the choicest in the city. They have traditionally been in high demand and some have been held for multiple generations. They are also an important source of affordable housing. The few lenders, however, still willing to loan on URM's insist on low leverage, a well-capitalized buyer and earthquake insurance.

（continued from page 8）
Our strong apartment market is continuing to perform fairly well and buyers are still seeking properties in Oregon，but new investors are not entering our market at the same pace as they were even two years ago．For the first time in many years， the legislative climate has given rise to doubt． Peoples＇perception of what will happen is almost as important as what actually happen and shapes the investment outlook．After years of strong appreciation and rent growth，there is less confidence about the future，and whenever there is uncertainty，investors are more hesitant to conduct business．This is true for both buyers and sellers who don＇t want to make a misstep．■

Liz owns Tilbury Ferguson Investment Real Estate，Inc．，and has been in the apartment brokerage business since 1982．During that time she has closed over $\$ 600,000,000$ in sales ranging from historic， inner city buildings to newer，suburban garden courts．As a fifth generation Oregonian，she is very familiar with the local area．Liz Tilbury has been a CCIM since 1986 and has built her success on repeat business．She attended Vassar College in Poughkeepsie，N．Y．and graduated from University of Oregon with a B．S．in Journalism．


| SECTION 42 SURVEY RESULTS • FALL 2019 <br> TTL \＃OF PROPERTIES＝ $109 \bullet$ TTL \＃OF UNITS＝8，017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT TYPES | VACANCY RATE（\％） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AVG. RENT } \\ & \text { PER SQ FT (\$) } \end{aligned}$ |
| STUDIO | 1.58 | 2.40 |
| 1 BED／ 1 BATH | 1.58 | 1.28 |
| 2 BED／ 1 BATH | 1.34 | 1.10 |
| 2 BED／ 2 BATH | 1.72 | 1.13 |
| 2 BED／TH | 1.50 | 1.04 |
| 3 BED／ 1 BATH | 0.74 | 1.02 |
| 3 BED／ 2 BATH | 0.88 | 0.94 |
| TOTALS | 1.43 | 1.34 |



Dロ Yロப ロFFER INCENTIVES？


## FALL 2ロ19 APARTMENT MARKET UPDATE

 Patrick O．Barry，Barry \＆Associates
## STABLE FUNDAMENTALS \＆

## A RETURN TO NORMAL

The Portland multifamily market has experienced exceptional growth in recent years．However，in 2019 the apartment market has seen some transition．Vacancies have remained in a balanced state，though rent growth has slowed． Despite declining interest rates and solid job growth， apartment sales have seen a large drop，and the political climate may be impacting investor interest．

## SUPPLY \＆DEMAND

Based on population growth，Portland Metro requires around 4,500 to 6,000 new apartments per year to maintain vacancies．From 2008 to 2012，Portland Metro averaged just 2，100 units per year，compared to an average of 7，400 per year from 2013 to 2018．The increased permit activity in recent years has helped satisfy pent up demand due to a period of underbuilding after the Great Recession．The YTD figures through August suggest around 8，300 units will be permitted in 2019.


APARTMENT PERMITS 2ロロ8－YTD $2 \square 19$ ANNUALIZED FロUR CロUNTY METRロ AREA


## VACANCIES \＆RENTS

Vacancies bottomed out at $2.9 \%$ in mid－2015， following years of slow apartment construction． After 4＋years of strong apartment construction， vacancies now sit at around $4.4 \%$ ，which is down slightly from the previous survey．CoStar forecasts that Portland Metro vacancies will increase to $6.5 \%$ by 2022 ．The forecasted rise in vacancies is due to a surge of completions over the coming six months combined with slowing job／population growth across Portland Metro．
The run up in rents from 2013 to 2015 triggered a wave of new construction．This new construction has moderated rent increases in recent years． CoStar reports year－over－year rent increases of $2.8 \%$ ．Due to the large volume of units nearing completion，rental growth is expected to slow from around $2.5 \%$ to $0.5 \%$ by 2022 ．

## TRANSACTIONS \＆SALES VOLUME

Since 2015 Portland has experienced a flourishing sales market，with these years representing some of the busiest ever．During this period， institutional investors finally took notice of Portland and spent accordingly．However，YTD 2019 sales volume is down significantly．In 2018， there were 230 transactions，versus just 121 sales through September 2019．On an annualized basis， this is around 160 transactions，which is a decrease of 30 percent compared to 2018 ．Sales volume was on track for steep decline in 2019，but $\$ 730 \mathrm{M}$ in sales during July and August will bring likely bring us above $\$ 2 \mathrm{~B}$ for 2019 ，which by all measures is a good year，though down from 2018.

## VALUES

When you consider all apartment sales across Portland Metro，values have increased around $45 \%$ since 2015．However，through September 2019， there are indications that values may be leveling． The sales for YTD 2019 are showing stable to moderately increasing values for pre－2010 built units in the suburban counties and Portland． Values are showing slight declines for apartments built since 2010．This decline may be due to a peak in apartment completions which impacts rents and vacancies，and an increase in new apartments for sale．There appears to be a gap between buyer and seller expectations．

[^0]

MEDIAN PRICE PER SQUARE FロロT 2ロ15－YTD $2 \square 19$ BUILT APARTMENTS



```
LロCATIGN ロF APARTMENT BUYERS SINCE 2ロ1G (串VロLUME)
```



## POLITICS

The housing related regulations passed at both the local and state level is a new phenomenon．There is considerable uncertainty whether the current regulations and any future regulations can both stabilize housing for existing tenants and encourage development to address the underlying issue of not enough housing．

There is a concern that the stigma of rent control will adversely impact out of state investment in the Oregon multifamily market，on which we rely upon heavily．Since 2016，the top 35 buyers in the Portland Metro market have accounted for over $\$ 4.2$ billion in sales and none of these buyers are based in Oregon．These investors have little loyalty to Portland and are more interested in returns． However，based on six months of a rent controlled market，out of state institutional investment has remained stable with no significant drop．

## SUMMARY

In the balance of 2019 and in early 2020，we expect vacancies to trend up，slower rent growth，flattening income，and uncertainty on how to deal with the new regulations．Up to this point，these indicators have had no apparent adverse impact on values except for newer units．In the balance of 2019 and into early 2020，the Portland apartment market is expected to remain stable，as we return to a more normal market．■

Patrick O．Barry（pb＠barryapartmentreport．com）is a certified general appraiser with Barry $\mathcal{E}$ Associates，which specializes in apartment appraisal work in the Portland metropolitan area． Patrick is an engineering graduate of the University of Colorado．


EXAMPLES ロF 2ロ19 TRANSACTIロNS
Portland Metro Area


FIRCREST APARTMENTS
27 UNITS • 中1ロ8，14B PER UNIT
19511 NE HALSEY STREET © GRESHAM APRIL 2ロ19


STEVENS CREEK APARTMENTS
14ロ UNITS • 中273，75ロ PER UNIT
$1143 \square$ SE STEVENS RロAD •HAPPY VALLEY
MAY $2 \square 19$


JロபLE APARTMENTS
12 UNITS • $\mathbf{1} 288,333$ PER UNIT 7ロ17 NE GRAND AVENUE • PロRTLAND

JULY 2ロ19


THE STRATFロRD APARTMENTS
65 UNITS • 中161，53日 PER UNIT 13925 SW ALLEN ELVD • BEAVERTロN JபLY 2 ロ19

## REGIUNAL ECONGMY REMAINS STRロNG，EVEN AS םUTLロロK UNCERTAIN

Josh Lehner，Economist，Oregon Office of Economic Analysis


The current expansion is now the longest on record，celebrating its tenth birthday over the summer．The economic data flow remains solid overall and classic recession catalysts like an overheating economy are not rearing their heads．The good news is that expansions do not die of old age and the outlook calls for ongoing，but slower growth．

However，expansions do tend to die due to bad behavior and policy mistakes．As such，the risk of recession is clearly rising． Revisions to both GDP and employment reveal a weaker and slower－growing economy than previously believed．Federal tax cuts provided a minimal and short－lived boost that is in the rearview mirror．Past interest rate hikes by the Federal Reserve cooled economic activity as well．On top of this，the trade war escalation is spilling over and weighing on the economy to a larger degree．

In short，businesses are wary as they delay investments and slow their pace of hiring．All of this has financial markets on edge and the Federal Reserve now taking out insurance rate cuts in hopes of heading off a recession．Time will tell whether this is the top of the cycle or just a rough patch．

All of that said，behind the turmoil and uncertainty remains an economy that is largely doing well．In particular，consumer spending is holding up even as sentiment wanes a bit in recent months．We know consumers will spend until given a reason to be scared，which typically means the fear of job loss．The best leading indicator for the labor market is initial claims for
unemployment insurance，a measure of layoffs．Right now initial claims remain at or near historic lows．

Furthermore，newly released Census data shows how strong household finances really are．Oregon＇s median household income not only is at a record high on an inflation－adjusted basis，but for the first time in more than 50 years，Oregon has surpassed the national median household income．The local economy＇s stronger growth this cycle is translating into more money in the pockets of Oregonians as the sweet spot，or feel－good part of the business cycle continues．

These improvements really have spread to every corner of the state and across all populations．Income gains are rising the fastest among low－income Oregonians who rely upon the labor market for their only real source of income．Additionally， poverty rates among Oregon and Portland＇s communities of color are at multi－decade lows，and possibly even historic lows． Now，there remains a substantial racial gap in terms of most socioeconomic data，however it is narrowing in a strong economy．More Oregonians of all backgrounds are working， for more hours，and at higher pay．

The Portland region continues to see strong income growth， but in some respects the latest Census data reveals the area taking a bit of a breather．Specifically，educational attainment and homeownership rates in 2018 held relatively steady after seeing significant gains the previous few years．Now，how much of this reflects year－to－year noise in the data and how much signals a real shift is still an open question．Only time will tell． Of particular note is the ongoing developments in the housing market．Multifamily permitting activity in the Portland region remains strong，despite concerns over local policies， overbuilding，and rising vacancies．It is true that many of these projects entered into the development pipeline years ago and are just now coming to fruition．However，near－term absorption is helped by continued in－migration to the region and by homeownership rates among 20 －and 30 －somethings that

dropped just a bit in 2018. Given rising household incomes, low interest rates, and Millennials aging into their 30 s , it is possible, even likely that ownership will continue to increase in the years ahead.

Longer-term absorption will be helped by population growth of course, but also possibly from higher rates of household formation of existing residents. Today, headship rates among $20-$ and 30 -somethings are at multi-decade lows and they continue to fall. If headship rates today were the same as in the early- or mid-2000s, the Portland region would have 36,000 more households. That is equivalent to nearly 3 years of new construction. Societal changes, increased schooling and the like are part of the story, as are lower levels of housing affordability. However, moderating rents and the strong multifamily supply may encourage higher rates of household formation. If so, this latent housing demand may help the market find an equilibrium sooner rather than later.

Moving forward, the near-term outlook is driven by business cycle dynamics, most of which point toward moderating growth at best. The regional economy is at its strongest vantage point in at least two decades, providing some cushion for the next recession whenever it comes. Over the long-run, the Pacific Northwest's comparative advantage remains our ability to attract and retain working age households. The influx of new residents provide an ample supply of young, skilled labor for local firms to hire and expand. They also provide ongoing demand for housing units of all types. $\quad$ -

Josh Lehner is a Senior Economist with the State of Oregon's Office of Economic Analysis. He develops the quarterly Oregon Economic forecast, including outlooks for employment, income and housing. Additional responsibilities include the Oregon Index of Leading Indicators, tracking international developments in Oregon's export markets and forecasting revenues for the Oregon Lottery, Oregon Judicial Department and state tobacco taxes. Mr. Lehner earned a B.A. in Economics from the University of Colorado and an M.S. in Economics from Portland State University.


TENANT PAID UTILITIES

| MAP AREA | WATER/GEWER | HEAT | GARBAEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW PORTLAND | 63.4\% | 88.9\% | 60.1\% |
| HILLSBORO \| N OF HWY 26 | 77.3\% | 100\% | 68.2\% |
| ALOHA | 88.9\% | 100\% | 84.4\% |
| BEAVERTON | 67.2\% | 90.2\% | 57.4\% |
| DOWNTOWN PORTLAND | 62\% | 86\% | 60\% |
| SW PORTLAND | 82\% | 96.7\% | 68.9\% |
| TIGARD \| TUALATIN | SHERWOOD | 75.4 \% | 98.4\% | 72.1 \% |
| LAKE OSWEGO \| WEST LINN | 76.5\% | 100\% | 70.6\% |
| WILSONVILLE \| CANBY | 68\% | 100\% | 56\% |
| OREGON CITY \| GLADSTONE | 68.8\% | 93.8\% | 31.3\% |
| MILWAUKIE | 81.5\% | 100\% | 40.7\% |
| CLACKAMAS | 100\% | 100\% | 87.5\% |
| INNER \& CENTRAL SE PTLD | 44\% | 79\% | 35.9\% |
| OUTER SE PORTLAND | 50\% | 96.8\% | 30.7\% |
| TROUTDALE\|FAIRVIEW WOOD VILLAGE|GRESHAM | 63.6\% | 100\% | 52.3\% |
| OUTER NE PORTLAND | 71.4\% | 100\% | 42.9\% |
| INNER \& CENTRAL NE PTLD | 43.2\% | 69.1\% | 36.4\% |
| NORTH PTLD \| ST. JOHNS | 60.8\% | 86.3\% | 51\% |
| WEST VANCOUVER | 82.4\% | 100\% | $67.7 \%$ |
| EAST VANCOUVER | 88\% | 100\% | 76\% |
| SALEM \| VICINITY | 57.3\% | 96.6\% | 53\% |
| EUGENE \| SPRINGFIELD | 49.5\% | 100\% | 45.8\% |
| BEND \| REDMOND | 83.3\% | 100\% | $75 \%$ |

## คกคะ Apartment Report

Thank you to all who contributed to the making of this report．

## AFFINITY PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

AMERICAN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
AVENUE5 RESIDENTIAL
BARKER \＆CALKINS，INC
BLUESTONE \＆HOCKLEY REAL ESTATE SERVICES
BRISTOL URBAN APARTMENTS
BUNTING MANAGEMENT GROUP
C\＆R REAL ESTATE SERVICES CAPITAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SERVICES，INC．

CARLA PROPERTIES，LTD．
CASCADE MANAGEMENT，INC．
COMMERCE PROPERTIES CROWN POINT，LLC

CTL MANAGEMENT，INC．
CYPRESS MANAGEMENT
DALTON MANAGEMENT，INC．
FIRST CLASS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT，LLC
FOX MANAGEMENT，INC．
FPI MANAGEMENT
GREYSTAR
GUARDIAN REAL ESTATE SERVICES，LLC
HOLLAND RESIDENTIAL
INCOME PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CO．
JENNINGS GROUP，INCORPORATED JK MANAGEMENT CO．，INC

KBC MANAGEMENT，INC．
LAWRENCE INVESTMENT COMPANY，LLC MDI MANAGEMENT

MILESTONE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT MILL CREEK RESIDENTIAL

PINNACLE
PRINCETON PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
PROMETHEUS
QUANTUM RESIDENTIAL
REGENCY MANAGEMENT，INC．
SCOTT PROPERTIES，LLC
STERLING MANAGEMENT GROUP，INC．
TANGENT PROPERTY MANAGEMENT，INC．
WPL ASSOCIATES

[^1]For more information on Multifamily NW or to comment on this report，please visit us on the web at www．multifamilynw．org．The opinions contained in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the opinions or positions of Multifamily NW．
$2 \square 19$
MULTIFAMILY NW BaARD QF DIRECTロRS

Maureen MacNabb
Capital Property Management PRESIDENT Dan Mason
Holland Residential
VICE PRESIDENT
Rence Larsen
Capital Property Management secretary

Chris Hermanski
Mainlander Property Management TREASURER Amy Alcala
Princeton Property Management IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT Scott Arena Income Property Management DIRECTOR

Maggie Banker $C \mathfrak{G} R$ Real Estate Services DIRECTOR Ken Brown
Rexius DIRECTIR Barb Casey
Kennedy Restoration DIRECTOR Greg Frick HFO Investment Real Estate DIRECTOR Codi Kramer Dalton Management，Inc． DIRECTOR Jesse Miller

Greystar DIRECTOR

Jeff Reingold
Income Property Management DIRECTOR

Ryan Ridgeway
GSL Properties，Inc． DIRECTOR Peter Rose
Bristol Urban Apartments DIRECTOR Leah Sykes GreenspoonMarder，LLP DIRECTIR Sara Vail Jennings Group DIRECTOR
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