# 用腘崖 Apartment Report 

## PENDULUM SWINGING

Craig McConachie，C\＆RR Real Estate Services，Co． Apartment Report Committee

Ongoing multifamily development is starting to create a more balanced market in Portland， and we are seeing some early signs that supply is starting to catch up to demand．The Portland MSA can expect to see an additional 12，000 to 14,000 new units hit the market by the end of 2017．Overall vacancies have increased， with only six of the twenty－one surveyed areas posting a decrease．And while Portland rents continue to increase，the addition of new supply has slowed that increase even as demand remains steady．Portland area job growth continues to outpace the national average and Oregon＇s February unemployment rate dropped to $4.5 \%$ ，which is a 40 year low．

Average operating expenses for all unit types in the Portland MSA have increased 6．3\％ year－over－year，as landlords continue to see significant increases in property taxes（ $+10 \%$ ） and water／sewer expenses（ $+8 \%$ ）．Market demand to purchase apartments remains extremely high，and for sale inventory extremely low．The number of closed transactions in the Metro area decreased from 100 in the fourth quarter of 2015 ，to 76 in the first quarter of 2016，reflecting a $24 \%$ decline in sales．The numbers reflect a lower median price per Sq ． Ft．for every quarter since the second quarter of 2015 ，and a lower median price per unit in the first quarter of 2016 of $\$ 92,444$ vs．the median price per unit of around $\$ 94,100$ for 2015．Slight increases in cap rates for each of the last three quarters are being reported， with a current average rate of $6.14 \%$ ．

## Portland／Vancouver

## VACANCY：

The Portland／Vancouver vacancy factor increased by 65 basis points from our Fall report，and currently stands at $3.52 \%$ ．The Clackamas area has the lowest vacancy of $1.6 \%$ and also represents an area that has very little new construction activity．The only other area under 2\％is East Vancouver．Both Wilsonville and Northwest Portland show
some softness，with vacancy factors over $5 \%$ ． Three－bedroom，one bath units have the highest occupancy of all unit types，with average vacancy of $2.9 \%$ ，and two－bedroom townhomes have the highest vacancy of $4.2 \%$ ．

## RENT RATES：

Overall rents in the Metro area have seen an increase of $13 \%$ year－over－year，but additional supply has caused rent increases to slow to $5.3 \%$ since the Fall Report，indicating an annualized increase closer to $10 \%$ ．In the past six months， Wilsonville and Hillsboro have experienced $12 \%$ increases，with Downtown Portland being the only area where rent actually decreased slightly．The inclusion of new units in our survey has caused average rent rates to increase disproportionately compared to older，more seasoned product．Due to increased construction， this survey includes twice as many newer units $(8,000)$ than our survey in the Spring of 2015 $(4,000)$ ．The 8,000 number represents $14 \%$ of all units surveyed，compared to only $8 \%$ in Spring 2015.

Average rent per sq．ft．for all areas has increased to $\$ 1.40$ ．The Downtown core continues to have the highest rents at $\$ 2.10$ psf．，with NW Portland coming in second at $\$ 1.97 \mathrm{psf}$ ．Outer NE Portland and Troutdale／Fairview continue to have the lowest rates，but both areas are finally over the $\$ 1.00 \mathrm{psf}$ mark．

## Overall average rents per unit type

Portland MSA：
Studio：．． $\qquad$ \＄1027
1 bdrm／1 bth： $\qquad$ \＄1063
2 bdrm／1 bth： ． 1063

2 bdrm／2 bth：．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$ 1261$
2 bdrm twnhs：．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$ 1169$
3 bdrm／1 bth：．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$ 1116$
3 bdrm／2 bth：．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$ 1371$

## MARKET CONDITIONS：

Only $5 \%$ of all properties are offering rental incentives，primarily on new lease－ups．The average number of days that a unit will stay vacant for the entire Portland area is 39 ， up from 34 days six months ago．Inner SE Portland and St．Johns continue to experience the fastest turnover rate of 10 days or less．

Sixty－five percent of all professionally managed properties in the Portland／Vancouver area are passing through water and sewer costs to their residents．

## Other Areas

Vacancy rates are up marginally in the Bend／Redmond area to just over 3\％，but surprisingly rent rates have decreased by $3 \%$ ． Eugene／Springfield is softening and vacancy has increased to $4.5 \%$ ，with rent rates up by $4.4 \%$ ．The Eugene market reports $11 \%$ of surveyed properties are offering rental incentives． The Salem market continues to experience vacancy levels under 3\％，but similar to Bend，
（continued on page 2）．


> SURVEY SAYS!
> - Vacancy Increases
> - Rent Growth Slows
> - Construction Continues
> - Expenses Up 6.3\%
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## PロRTLAND METRE AREA


（continued from page 1）
rents have decreased over the past six months．The Bend，Eugene and Salem areas are all reporting approximately $50 \%$ of all properties are passing through water and sewer costs to tenants，compared to $65 \%$ in the Portland MSA．

Operating Expenses
This issue includes our annual survey of apartment operating expenses．The numbers are derived from 2015 year end operating statements at 122 stabilized properties，representing 8,358 units throughout the Portland Metro area．Median per unit expenses have increased for all property types by $6.3 \%$ over the past year，from $\$ 4,686$ per unit，to $\$ 4,980$ per unit．Newer urban style apartments in Multnomah County have the highest per unit expenses at $\$ 6,748$ ， while older urban style units in Multnomah County have the lowest per unit expenses of $\$ 4,487$ ．Property taxes average $\$ 1,127$ per unit and water and sewer expense averages $\$ 640$ per unit．

## Our Contributors

Patrick Barry contributed his detailed Apartment Construction Report． Portland＇s current development pipeline includes an impressive 21,600 proposed units．But with the continued shortage of units and increasing demand，he does not expect vacancy rates to approach 5\％ ＂for at least the next 12 to 18 months and possibly longer．＂

Over $67 \%$ of the units under construction are either close－in East／West Portland，or North Portland．An increase in suburban building is projected，as these projects begin to make more financial sense to developers．

The issue of seismic retrofitting of unreinforced masonry（URM） buildings in the City of Portland is closely examined and explained， in an article by Walt McMonies of Lane Powell，P．C．Portland has approximately 300 URM apartment buildings that will be significantly impacted by regulatory changes being considered by the City．Reasonable time frames for upgrades and financial assistance to owners of these buildings will be critical issues that the City Council will be considering this summer．

According to Josh Lehner，Economist with the State of Oregon， the Portland area is one year behind in terms of new construction being able to keep up with our growing population．Josh predicts that the＂economy should be fully healthy by late summer or early fall，a milestone not seen in a decade．＂Market forces dictate that Portland
can sustain two，but not three of the housing＂trilemma＂，which consists of economic strength，quality of life and housing affordability．

This survey represents a total of 63,161 units from 890 properties． All of the articles have been reprinted without editing the content， in order to present unbiased opinions．We＇d like to thank all of the management companies and property owners who have submitted information．Their participation is critical in insuring the accuracy of our data and the continued success of this report．

## Dロ Yロப ロFFER INCENTIVES？

| MAP AREA | FALL 2015 | SPRING 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW Portland | 7．1\％ | 7．1\％ |
| Hillsboro／North of Hwy 26 | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Aloha | 8．3\％ | 7．6\％ |
| Beaverton | 1．7\％ | 1．6\％ |
| Downtown Portland | 2．3\％ | 5\％ |
| SW Portland | 5．7\％ | 7．3\％ |
| Tigard／Tualatin／Sherwood | 8．5\％ | 6．7\％ |
| Lake Oswego／West Linn | 7．1\％ | 0\％ |
| Wilsonville／Canby | 0\％ | 6．7\％ |
| Oregon City／Gladstone | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Milwaukie | 2．9\％ | 0\％ |
| Clackamas | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Inner \＆Central SE（Portland） | 2．1\％ | 2．2\％ |
| Outer SE（Portland） | 3．5\％ | 2\％ |
| Troutdale／Fairview／Wood Village／Gresham | 2．7\％ | 5．9\％ |
| Outer NE（Portland） | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Inner \＆Central NE（Portland） | 1\％ | 2．1\％ |
| North Portland／St．Johns | 4．6\％ | 0\％ |
| West Vancouver | 7．7\％ | 6．1\％ |
| East Vancouver | 0\％ | 0\％ |
| Salem／Vicinity | 11．5\％ | 9．4\％ |
| Eugene／Springfield | 9．4\％ | 10．9\％ |
| Bend／Redmond | 0\％ | 0\％ |

## AVERAGE RENT PER GQபARE FロロT 串



AVERAGE MARKET VACANCY RATE \％

－THER AREAS


SURVEY RESULTS—SPRING 2ロ16
PロRTLAND／VANEロUVER METRロ AREA


PロRTLAND/VANEGUVER METRG AREA

| AREA NAME | \# OF PROP | DATA | ALL | FALL 15 REPORT | CHANGE | STUDIO | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { BED } \\ & 1 \text { BATH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { BED } \\ 2 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { BED } \\ & \text { TWNHS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { BED } \\ 1 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { BED } \\ 2 \text { BATH } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beAverton <br> (4) | 53 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 2.63 | 2.69 | 0.02 | 4.48 | 2.73 | 2.97 | 2.33 | 4.84 | 1.79 | 1.1 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.37 | 1.23 | +0.14 | 1.54 | 1.59 | 1.18 | 1.35 | 1.24 | 1.04 | 1.2 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 755 | 1094 | 1088 | 1341 | 1447 | 1105 | 1525 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 5394 | 5957 |  | 67 | 1907 | 1449 | 1371 | 124 | 112 | 364 |
| HILLSBORO \| N OF HWY 26 <br> (2) | 22 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 4.33 | 2.56 | 0.69 | 6.82 | 4.47 | 5.06 | 4.51 | 3.25 | 0 | 1.28 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.4 | 1.25 | +0.15 | 2.07 | 1.55 | 1.29 | 1.24 | 1.2 | 1.34 | 1.2 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 1175 | 1142 | 1185 | 1312 | 1534 | 1135 | 1526 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 3974 | 2382 |  | 132 | 1655 | 474 | 1241 | 154 | 6 | 312 |
| TIGARD \| TUALATIN SHERWOOD <br> (7) | 52 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 3.17 | 3.03 | 0.04 | 3.95 | 2.48 | 3.65 | 2.88 | 5.53 | 3.01 | 4.52 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.25 | 1.23 | +0.02 | 1.85 | 1.36 | 1.16 | 1.19 | 1.2 | 1.13 | 1.13 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 814 | 930 | 987 | 1164 | 1322 | 1162 | 1265 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 5135 | 4784 |  | 76 | 1812 | 1426 | 1181 | 253 | 166 | 221 |
| WEST VANCOUVER <br> (19) | 30 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 2.58 | 3.47 | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ +0.08 \end{gathered}$ | - | 2.72 | 2.37 | 2.82 | 1.78 | 9.52 | 1.8 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.11 | 1.03 |  | - | 1.25 | 1.11 | 1.05 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1.02 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | - | 894 | 966 | 1102 | 1025 | 1194 | 1245 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 3059 | 2045 |  | 0 | 734 | 759 | 1098 | 169 | 21 | 278 |
| EAST VANCOUVER <br> (20) | 17 | AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% | 1.86 | 2.98 | $\begin{gathered} 0.37 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 1.22 | 2.07 | 0.9 | 2.76 | 1.19 | 10 | 2.2 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ | 1.13 | 1.13 |  | 1.64 | 1.25 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 0.89 | 1.06 |
|  |  | AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  | 782 | 886 | 981 | 1080 | 1001 | 1273 | 1230 |
|  |  | SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED | 1989 | 3226 |  | 82 | 482 | 557 | 508 | 168 | 10 | 182 |
| TOTAL AVG MARKET VACANCY RATE \% |  |  | 3.52 | 2.87 | 0.22 | 4.16 | 3.45 | 3.24 | 3.71 | 4.18 | 2.88 | 3.38 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER SQ FOOT \$ |  |  | 1.4 | 1.33 | +0.07 | 2.27 | 1.57 | 1.18 | 1.26 | 1.12 | 1.09 | 1.15 |
| TOTAL AVG RENT PER UNIT TYPE \$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1027 | 1063 | 1033 | 1261 | 1169 | 1116 | 1371 |
| TOTAL SUM OF PROPERTIES SURVEYED |  |  | 755 | 741 |  | 180 | 613 | 520 | 253 | 103 | 93 | 185 |
| TOTAL SUM OF UNITS SURVEYED |  |  | 56072 | 52628 |  | 3320 | 19750 | 13062 | 13548 | 2153 | 660 | 3579 |




Surveys received from Sec 42, Sec 8 and other subsidized affordable housing programs are not included in the current survey data.

TREND REPロRT ：PロRTLAND METRロ AREA

CoStar：Search criteria—Research Status：Published；Market：Portland；PropType：Multi Family；Sale Date：10／1／2012—3／31／2016；unit： 5 units and greater．





| YEAR | 4Q12 | 1Q13 | 2Q13 | 3Q13 | 4Q13 | 1Q14 | 2Q14 | 3Q14 | 4Q14 | 1Q15 | 2Q15 | 3Q15 | 4Q15 | 1Q16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \＃OF TRANS | 82 | 53 | 70 | 62 | 87 | 60 | 75 | 73 | 84 | 105 | 88 | 92 | 100 | 76 |
| TIL \＄VOLUME | \＄343，844，310 | \＄195，978，150 | \＄238，340，334 | \＄270，891，328 | \＄526，776，697 | \＄198，107，285 | \＄408，850，710 | \＄521，813，240 | \＄472，554，825 | \＄593，355，170 | \＄590，395，060 | \＄667，162，564 | \＄570，043，570 | \＄408，598，000 |
| TIL BLDG SF | 4，065，401 | 2，780，554 | 2，502，759 | 2，606，107 | 5，170，657 | 1，789，117 | 3，211，933 | 3，744，691 | 3，861，229 | 3，884，945 | 3，869，274 | 4，053，348 | 4，076，738 | 2，617，102 |
| TIL UNITS | 3，477 | 2，254 | 2，440 | 2，687 | 5，531 | 1，694 | 3，042 | 3，682 | 4，433 | 3，852 | 4，586 | 4，538 | 4，119 | 2，855 |
| AVG PRICE | \＄4，193，223 | \＄3，697，701 | \＄3，404，862 | \＄4，369，215 | \＄6，054，905 | \＄3，301，788 | \＄5，451，343 | \＄7，148，127 | \＄5，625，653 | \＄5，651，002 | \＄6，709，035 | \＄7，251，767 | \＄5，700，436 | \＄5，376，289 |
| AVG \＃OF SF | 50，190 | 52，463 | 36，805 | 43，435 | 59，433 | 29，819 | 43，405 | 52，010 | 45，967 | 37，355 | 43，969 | 44，058 | 41，179 | 34，895 |
| AVG PRICE BIDG SF | \＄84．21 | \＄70．48 | \＄94．76 | \＄97．02 | \＄101．88 | \＄110．73 | \＄126．22 | \＄139．27 | \＄122．38 | \＄149．64 | \＄152．59 | \＄164．60 | \＄139．24 | \＄155．55 |
| MED PRICE P／SF | \＄80．17 | \＄80．57 | \＄72．61 | \＄81．89 | \＄81．79 | \＄88．26 | \＄83．12 | \＄110．29 | \＄96．34 | \＄94．97 | \＄121．55 | \＄115．96 | \＄110．95 | \＄104．65 |
| AVG PRICE P／UNIT | \＄85，450 | \＄85，554 | \＄92，594 | \＄86，547 | \＄94，046 | \＄108，927 | \＄131，685 | \＄133，836 | \＄106，120 | \＄141，954 | \＄128，204 | \＄146，008 | \＄135，261 | \＄143，117 |
| MED PRICE P／UNIT | \＄68，500 | \＄70，833 | \＄63，944 | \＄69，062 | \＄69，000 | \＄70，938 | \＄76，607 | \＄80，900 | \＄82，143 | \＄94，243 | \＄88，592 | \＄90，625 | \＄102，941 | \＄92，422 |
| AVG \＃OF UNITS | 52 | 48 | 41 | 54 | 67 | 33 | 44 | 58 | 55 | 40 | 53 | 51 | 43 | 38 |
| ACTUAL CAP RAIE | 6．84\％ | 6．85\％ | 6．74\％ | 6．82\％ | 6．57\％ | 7．19\％ | 6．85\％ | 6．15\％ | 6．18\％ | 6．09\％ | 5．83\％ | 5．98\％ | 6．11\％ | 6．14\％ |
| AVG GRM | 9.35 | 8.96 | 8.15 | 7.88 | 8.85 | 8.91 | 8.28 | 8.70 | 8.99 | 9.52 | 9.36 | 8.84 | 9.99 | 9.69 |
| AVG GIM | 9.20 | 8.95 | 10.76 | 7.71 | 12.03 | － | － | 8.38 | － | 8.78 | 8.63 | 8.84 | 11.40 | 9.44 |

## PロRTLAND＇s STRロNG ECDNロMY AND THE HロபSING TRILEMMA

Josh Lehner，Economist，State of Oregon，Office of Economic Analysis


Portland＇s strong regional economy and high quality of life have come at the cost of lower housing affordability．Although such tradeoffs are inevitable，Portland is an extreme case，standing out as less affordable than most other popular metropolitan areas．

Portland＇s economic growth remains robust and the region is now close to full employment．Strong wage gains are following the job growth．Portland＇s average wage，relative to other metropolitan areas，is at its highest since the dotcom bubble back in 2000．Most encouragingly，better job opportunities are pulling workers back into the labor market．Both the number and the share of adults with a job or looking for work are increasing today．The economy should finally be fully healthy by late summer or early fall，a milestone not seen in a decade．

As always，population growth follows a stronger economy．These gains strengthen the region＇s expansion．In particular，Portland is able to attract young，skilled workers in their twenties and thirties．More than half of the young college graduates arriving today hold a scientific，technical or medical degree．They also often come at a discount relative to other markets．The flipside of our lower incomes are lower business costs for local firms．

However，the region＇s strong economy and high quality of life have come at the cost of lower housing affordability．These three factors－economic strength，quality of life and housing affordability－represent the housing trilemma．A city can have two but not all three at the same time．Among the largest metropolitan areas in the country，just eight rank among the top half for all three factors of the housing trilemma．None rank
among the Top 20 in all three．Unless you prefer living on the Great Plains， that list of eight metros lacks sizzle．

The reason these tradeoffs exist is mostly，but not entirely，due to market forces．People want to live in cities with a strong economy and high quality of life．Increased demand for housing leads to higher prices and lower affordability．Portland，along with our Pacific Northwest peers－Boise，ID and Seattle，WA－clearly falls within this group．As do other popular metros like Denver，CO and San Francisco，CA．

Yet Portland＇s affordability today is worse than these other popular metros． Much of the problem is simple supply and demand．The region is one year behind in terms of new construction relative to the growing population． Portland＇s vacancy rate is among the lowest in the nation．This impacts renters the most，including younger households and those on fixed incomes． Homebuyers are somewhat better off，as low interest rates are helping to offset rising home prices．

More construction is required，but that alone is not enough．Just look at Austin，TX．The region has a very strong economy and high quality of life． Despite leading the nation＇s largest metros in new construction，Austin is only able to reach middling affordability．Austin＇s home prices，while lower than Portland＇s，are still relatively high and half of all renters spend at least 30 percent of their income on rent．Increasing construction is able to help with broad，regional affordability，but cannot fully offset the premium required to live in a popular place．In addition to building more homes， targeted programs are also needed to help our less fortunate neighbors bear these costs．

The housing trilemma is real．Tradeoffs are inevitable．Here in Portland we are fortunate enough to have a good economy and desirable quality of life．We should work to maintain these successes．However，eroding affordability in Portland does not have to be a permanent trend．Increasing construction to match a growing population and strong assistance programs are needed．$\quad$－

Josh Lehner is a Senior Economist with the State of Oregon＇s Office of Economic Analysis．He develops the quarterly Oregon Economic forecast，including outlooks for employment，income and housing．Additional responsibilities include the Oregon Index of Leading Indicators，tracking international developments in Oregon＇s export markets and forecasting revenues for the Oregon Lottery， Oregon Judicial Department and state tobacco taxes．Mr．Lehner earned a B．A．in Economics from the University of Colorado and an M．S．in Economics from Portland State University．


## SAMPLING ロF PRロلECTG RECENTLY CロMPLETED ロR பNDER CロNSTRபCTIロN—SPRING 2ロ16

## Apartment Construction

The number of projects under construction and in the pipeline have increased over the past six months．In Fall 2015 we were tracking 92 projects under construction with 9,000 units．Approximately 3,700 of these units were completed over the last six months．As of the early－April 2016，we are tracking construction on 9,200 units under construction across 105 projects．The number of proposed units is around 21,600 ，however the project size is unknown on 55 of the 276 proposed apartments．The projects with an unknown number of units will add at least 3,000 to the number of proposed units，but likely much more．



பNITS பNDER CロNSTRUETIロN BY LロロATIロN


As illustrated by the chart to the left，a majority （67．2\％）of the units under construction are located in Inner－East，Inner－West and North Portland sub－markets．The percentage of construction in the urban core has remained steady from Fall 2015， but activity in Clackamas County and Suburban East Portland has increased．Expect an increase in suburban projects as these developments begin to financially make sense to developers．
（continued from page 9） $\qquad$ CURRENT UNITS UNDER CロNSTRUCTIロN AND PRロPロSED BY LロCATIロN

Based on the continued shortage of units and the steady to increasing demand in the coming years， we do not expect vacancy rates to approach $5 \%$ for at least the next 12 to 18 months and possibly longer．During 2016 and 2017，we expect a total of 12,000 to 14,000 new units to become available． The current levels of construction are meeting the new demand，but are failing to make up much ground on our low vacancy rates．

Patrick O．Barry（pb＠barryapartmentreport．com）is an Appraiser Assistant with Barry \＆Associates，which specializes in apartment appraisal work in the Portland metropolitan area．Patrick is an engineering graduate of the University of Colorado．


## TロTAL PRロ」ECTS PRロPロSED AND

 UNDER CロNSTRUCTIロN| LロCATIロN | PRロp | Uc | TロTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Portland | 49 | 12 | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |
| Close in East Portland | 81 | 36 | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ |
| Close in West Portland | 58 | 26 | $\mathbf{8 4}$ |
| Suburban West | 20 | 7 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| Suburban East | 26 | 9 | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| Suburban South | 9 | 6 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| Clark County | 33 | 9 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| TםTAL | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1}$ |

TロTAL UNITS PRロPロSED AND UNDER CロNSTRUCTIロN

| Lacatian | prap | uc | TロTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| North Portland | 1,878 | 462 | $\mathbf{2 , 3 4 0}$ |
| Close in East Portland | 4,850 | 2,154 | $\mathbf{7 , 0 0 4}$ |
| Close in West Portland | 5,225 | 3,542 | $\mathbf{8 , 7 6 7}$ |
| Suburban West | 3,750 | 1,364 | $\mathbf{5 , 1 1 4}$ |
| Suburban East | 1,325 | 242 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 7}$ |
| Suburban South | 1,067 | 899 | $\mathbf{1 , 9 6 6}$ |
| Clark County | 3,488 | 498 | $\mathbf{3 , 9 8 6}$ |
| тםTAL | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 7 4 4}$ |

APARTMENT PERMITS 1 99ローZロ15 FロபR CロபNTY METRロ AREA


## PRロJECTS UNDER CロNSTRUCTIロN

The table below includes most projects under construction．Due to space constraints，we were unable to publish the units recently completed or proposed．The full list of projects is available under the＂Reports＂section of our website www．barryapartmentreport．com．
NAME ADDRESS $\quad$ CITY UNITS CロMP NロTES

NORTH PORTLAND

Greeley Ave 4－plex
Willamette Blvd Apts
Blandena Apts
Lovely Apts
Massachusetts Ave
Maryland Apts
N Lombard Apts
Minnesota Apts
N Leavitt Ave Apts
Sumner Apts
New Overlook Apts
Cook Street Lofts
Peloton Apts

ADDRESS $\mid$ CITY UNITS COMP

## CLOSE－IN EASTSIDE PORTLAND

Division 2424 SE 9th
Killingsworth Apts
NE Multnomah Apts
Madison Apts
Killingsworth Apts
SE 65th and Division
Stafford Apts
Killingsworth Apts
51st and Division Apts
Insley Apts
Lambert Apts
N Ivanhoe Apts
SE 24th Apts
42nd and Belmont Apts
The Truman Apts
Sellwood Apts
16th and Killingsworth
Killingsworth Apts
Glisan Apts
Brooklyn Yard
Miracles Club
SE Oak Apts
NE Fremont Apts
Belmont Apts
SE 11th Ave Apts
Eliot Apts
Old Wives Tales
Burnside Bridgehead
Galaxie Apts
Umatilla Apts
St．Francis Church Site
D50 Lofts
419 E Burnside
Modera Belmont
Belmont＂Goat Blocks＂
Block 67

2424 SE 9th Ave
NE 19th and Killingsworth
3205 NE Multnomah 1932 SE Madison St 1340 NE Killingsworth 6518 SE Division St 424 NE Stafford St 1360 NE Killingsworth 5134 SE Division Street 5350 SE 18th St 1313 SE Lambert St 8510 N Ivanhoe St 88 SE 24th Ave
4262 SE Belmont St 1515 SE 44th Avenue 8028 SE 13th Ave 1616 NE Killingsworth 1650 NE Killingsworth St 2250 NE Glisan St SE Milwaukie \＆Schiller 1306 NE 2nd Ave 1324 SE Oak St 4515 NE Fremont Ave 2100 SE Belmont Street 950 SE 11th Avenue 2605 NE 7th Avenue 1300 E Burnside St 321 NE Couch Street 7400 SE Milwaukie 8237 SE 17th Ave 1136 S．E．Oak St 4975 SE Division St 55 NE Grand Avenue 818 SE 6th Ave SE 10th and Belmont St 22 NE 2nd Ave（Block 67）

| Portland | n／a | 2016 | 5－story |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Portland | 4 | 2016 |  |
| Portland | 4 | 2016 | 3－story units |
| Portland | 4 | 2016 | 2－story |
| Portland | 6 | 2016 | 3－story |
| Portland | 9 | 2016 | Associated with apt to west and south |
| Portland | 10 | 2016 |  |
| Portland | 12 | 2016 | 3－story，two buildings |
| Portland | 14 | 2016 | 3－story，two buildings，courtyard style |
| Portland | 18 | 2016 | 3－story |
| Portland | 19 | 2016 | 4－story |
| Portland | 20 | 2016 | 3－story |
| Portland | 24 | 2016 | 4－story，no on－site parking |
| Portland | 27 | 2016 | 4－story，one retail space，on－site parking |
| Portland | 30 | 2016 | 4－story，mixed use，ground floor retail |
| Portland | 30 | 2016 | 4 story，mixed use |
| Portland | 34 | 2016 | 4－story，mixed use |
| Portland | 34 | 2016 | 4－story，20＋units |
| Portland | 37 | 2016 | 5－story，on－site parking |
| Portland | 46 | 2016 | 4－story，ground floor retail |
| Portland | 47 | 2016 | 6－story，Miracles Central |
| Portland | 48 | 2016 | 4－story，on－site parking． |
| Portland | 50 | 2016 | Ground floor retail，on－site parking |
| Portland | 55 | 2016 | 4－story，On－site parking |
| Portland | 63 | 2016 |  |
| Portland | 68 | 2016 | 6－story，on－site parking |
| Portland | 69 | 2016 | 4－story，Former site of Old Wives Tales |
| Portland | 75 | 2016 | 10－story，mixed use，on－site parking，office |
| Portland | 76 | 2017 | 4－story，on－site parking |
| Portland | 76 | 2016 | 4－story，parking on－site．Currently 1 level retail． |
| Portland | 106 | 2017 | Affordable \＆market rate 4 story，retail |
| Portland | 134 | 2017 | Ground floor retail，on－site parking |
| Portland | 158 | 2017 | 6－story，on－site parking，mixed use |
| Portland | 214 | 2017 | 6－story，on－site parking，ground floor retail |
| Portland | 257 | 2017 | Two adjacent sites．Retail and parking． |
| Portland | 276 | 2017 | 21 story，mixed use，structured parking |
|  |  |  |  |

## CLOSE－IN WESTSIDE PORTLAND

NW 16th Ave Apts
Pettygrove Apts
Hillsdale Apts
Savier Apts
23rd and Raleigh Apts

233 NW 16th Ave 2240 NW Pettygrove St 5075 SW 56th Avenue 2301 NW Savier St 2330 NW Raleigh St

| Portland | n／a | 2016 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Portland | 22 | 2016 |
| Portland | 22 | 2016 |
| Portland | 36 | 2016 |
| Portland | 40 | 2016 |

## CLOSE-IN WESTSIDE PORTLAND (continued)

| NW 20th Apts | 2010 NW Pettygrove St | Portland | 49 | 2016 | 5-story, on-site parking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tess O'Brien Apts | 1950 NW Pettygrove St | Portland | 52 | 2016 | 6 story, current a surface parking lot |
| NW 19th Apts | 1313 NW 19th Ave | Portland | 58 | 2016 | 6 story, on-site parking |
| Market View Apts | 1115 SW Market Street | Portland | 67 | 2016 | 6 story. Ground floor retail. On-site parking. |
| Tess O'Brien Apts | 1953 NW Overton St | Portland | 74 | 2016 | 6 story, 2 buildings, $5 / 1$ construction |
| 11th and Market Apts | 1133 SW Market Street | Portland | 100 | 2016 | 9-story, ,ground floor retail, near PSU |
| Taylor Apts | 1551 SW Taylor St | Portland | 121 | 2016 | 6-story with below grade parking |
| Couch9 Apts | 115 NW 9th Avenue | Portland | 145 | 2016 | 11-story, on-site parking, ground floor retail |
| 18th and NW Front Apts | 1850 NW Front Ave | Portland | 149 | 2016 | 6-story, mixed use, on-site parking |
| Riverscape Lot 1 Apts | NW Front \& NW 15th Ave | Portland | 149 | 2016 | 6 story, below grade \& surface parking |
| Abigail Apts | 1650 NW 13th Ave | Portland | 155 | 2016 | 6 story, ground floor retail |
| Q21 Mixed Use | 2140 NW Quimby St | Portland | 163 | 2016 | 7-story, retail and office space, on-site parking. |
| NW 21st \& Quimby Apts | 2112 NW Quimby | Portland | 163 | 2016 | 5 story, studio, 1, 2, and 3 BR units |
| John's Landing Apts | 4850 SW Macadam Ave | Portland | 189 | 2016 | Two parcels, along river |
| Jefferson Apts | 1221 SW 11th Ave | Portland | 196 | 2016 | 15 story, on-site parking, ground floor retail |
| Block 43 | 3850 SW Moody Ave | Portland | 199 | 2016 | 6 -story, ground floor retail, 2 levels UG parking |
| Riverscape Lot 8 Apts | 2220 NW Front Ave | Portland | 260 | 2016 | 2 bldgs, 7 story, surface \& below grade parking |
| Block 37 | 3700 SW River Pkwy | Portland | 278 | 2017 | 6 story, ground floor retail, below grade parking |
| PNCA Block (Block 136) | 1241 NW Johnson St | Portland | 280 | 2017 | Two buildings, 15 \& 5 stories |
| 12 Overton | 1333 NW 12th Ave | Portland | 285 | 2016 | 26 story, ground floor retail, 3-story UG parking |
| Modera Pearl | 1420 NW 14th Ave | Portland | 290 | 2017 | 9-story, Cash \& Carry Site, 2 levels UG parking |
| SUBURBAN WEST |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blanton St Apts | 3980 SW 170th Ave | Aloha | 32 | 2016 | 32 Units in a single building |
| Orchards @ Orenco | 953 NE 65TH Ave | Hillsboro | 160 | 2016 | Low income |
| Downtown Tigard Apts | SW Ash Ave \& Burnham St | Tigard | 166 | 2017 | 4-story, on-site parking. Ground floor retail. |
| Sunset View Apts | 16251 SW Jenkins Road | Beaverton | 236 | 2016 | 3 and 4 story, 10 buildings, clubhouse |
| Sequoia Village | 21575 W Baseline Rd | Hillsboro | 242 | 2016 | 25 acre site, 13 separate bldgs, mixed use. |
| North Bethany Crest | NW Kaiser Rd \& Brugger Rd | Portland | 251 | 2016 | 201 apts in 11 bldgs, 50 TH's in 7 bldgs |
| Amberglen on the Park | 20150 NW Amberglen Court | Beaverton | 277 | 2017 | Two, 3-story blgs \& two, 4-story bldgs. |

SUBURBAN EAST

| Brooklyn Apts | 8315 SE Brooklyn Street | Portland | 9 | 2016 | 3-story |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| SE 148th Apts | 18 SE 143rd Ave | Portland | 9 | 2016 | 3-story |
| 142nd and Burnside | 14224 E Burnside St | Portland | 9 | 2016 | 3-story |
| 142nd and Burnside | 14224 E Burnside St | Portland | 12 | 2016 | 3-story |
| 142nd and Burnside | 14224 E Burnside St | Portland | 13 | 2016 | 3-story |
| NE 147th and Couch | NE 147th and Couch | Portland | 38 | 2016 | 4 buildings, 3 story each, on-site parking |
| Lents Apts | 5205 SE 86th Ave | Portland | 40 | 2016 | Subsidized, location of former Foster Elementary |
| Station 162 Apts | 306 SE 162nd Ave | Gresham | 44 | 2016 | 4-story, surface parking |
| Foster Assisted Care | 13011 SE Foster Rd | Portland | 68 | 2016 | Assisted living facility |

## SUBURBAN SOUTH

| Zetterberg Estate Apts | 15452 East Ave | Oak Grove | 16 | 2016 | 4 4-unit buildings, vacant site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Town Center Greens | 8500 SE 85th Avenue | Happy Valley | 60 | 2016 | Subsidized |
| Stone Place Apts Phase 3 | 31696 S Ona Way | Molalla | 96 | 2016 | Phase 3 of larger project |
| Webster Ridge Apts | 18121 Webster Rd | Gladstone | 120 | 2016 | 5 buildings, 24 units each |
| Wizer Block | 330 1st St | Lake Oswego | 207 | 2017 | Downtown Lake Oswego, 4 story, on-site parking |
| Clackamette Cove | South Agnes Rd | Oregon City | 400 | 2017 | Formerly proposed \& revived. Surrounding lagoon |
| CLARK COUNTY |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Unit 6th Streeet | 8215 SE 6th Street | Vancouver | 8 | 2016 |  |
| Leverich Park Apts | 4700 NE Leverich Park Way | Vancouver | 28 | 2016 |  |
| Lincoln Place Apts | W. 13th and Lincoln Ave | Vancouver | 30 | 2016 | Studio units for chronically homeless |
| VWII | 505 E 15th Street | Vancouver | 48 | 2016 | 40 apts, 8 work/live units |
| Isabella Court | 3112 NE 62nd Ave | Vancouver | 49 | 2016 | 4-story, senior apartment |
| Freedom Paths | 1601 E 4th Plain Blvd | Vancouver | 50 | 2016 | 50 bed comples for veterans |
| Lofts at Glenwood | NE 82nd \& Vancouver Mall | Vancouver | 83 | 2016 | Loft style senior apts |
| 13 West Apts | 1314 SW Columbia Street | Vancouver | 92 | 2016 |  |
| Westridge Lofts | S 192nd and 20th St | Vancouver | 110 | 2016 | 4.5 acre, mix of apts and hotel |

## PロRTLAND＇G பRM SEIGMIC RETRロFIT PRロ」ECT

Walt McMonies，Of Counsel，Lane Powell P．C．


Alarmed by the potential impacts of a significant earthquake on unreinforced masonry（URM）buildings，the City of Portland began a project in 2014 intended to analyze and，if appropriate，propose City Code changes to expedite the seismic retrofitting of URM buildings， that is，buildings with at least one un－reinforced masonry bearing wall． The City Council will decide sometime this summer what regulatory changes are needed and what financial support for URM owners will be possible．

## HOW DID WE GET HERE？

According to undersea seismologist Prof．Chris Goldfinger of Oregon State University，there is a $37 \%$ chance of Portland experiencing a Magnitude 8.7 to 9.2 subduction earthquake（the Big One）in the next 50 years，although the epicenter will likely be at least 100 kilometers distant along the Cascade Subduction Zone．Because of proximity， a M 6.5 crustal quake on the Portland Hills fault might be just as damaging to the inner west side of Portland．

In a large earthquake，URM buildings are vulnerable to a high level of damage or collapse．The City＇s approximate 1，800 URM buildings include some of the City＇s most historically significant structures， and provide cultural character，moderate－rate housing for 8,000 to 10,000 people and incubator office and creative commercial space for thousands more．

Under current Title 24．85，seismic upgrades to an existing building are mandated only when the owner voluntarily activates a＂passive trigger，＂such as materially changing the occupancy or use，or undertaking a renovation at or above a specific cost threshold．Roof upgrades，in particular parapet wall bracing，are required when more than $50 \%$ of the building is re－roofed．

The City＇s Bureau of Development Services（BDS）estimates that in the 20 years since Title 24.85 was adopted，less than $20 \%$ of the identified URMs in Portland have been seismically upgraded or demolished．Portland＇s slow rate of URM upgrading is consistent with the data on those California cities which relied on incentives and passive triggers to induce retrofitting．They had upgrade rates ranging from 13 to $31 \%$ after 15 years．In contrast，California cities which chose to mandate seismic upgrades of URMs had an average compliance rate of $87 \%$ ．

Although it is technically feasible to seismically upgrade a URM，the financial feasibility of those upgrades is a real question．The typical URM building owner analyzes a major expenditure on a＂money invested，money repaid＂（payback）basis．Looking at seismic upgrading on a payback basis，URM Life／Safety upgrades in Portland are currently at best marginally cost effective，given that upgrading takes 20 to 25 years to payback the owner＇s investment through higher rents and lower expenses（in particular，less costly earthquake insurance and a lower cost of mortgage funds）．And that assumes that the owner has or can borrow sufficient funds to commission and pay for seismic retrofit cost of say $\$ 45$ a square foot，a doubtful presumption for many URM owners，who already have a lot of debt．

From a public risk management perspective，as was concluded in a 1995 cost benefit analysis prepared for Portland by Geologist Ken Goettel，retrofitting URMs sufficiently to allow occupants to survive a quake and to exit a building（Life／Safety standard）will cost less than the benefits in terms of lives saved and economic losses avoided in an earthquake．

## MANDATORY UPGRADE SYSTEM IN DEVELOPMENT

Driven by Goettel＇s conclusion that the seismic upgrading of URMs to a Life／Safety standard is cost effective and BDS＇s finding that URMs are not being seismically upgraded quickly enough，the City appointed a series of three committees to build a recommendation for seismic policy changes．
First，the Retrofit Standards Committee，comprised of city staff and technical experts analyzing the URM vulnerability，recommended mandatory seismic upgrades of most URMs（unless significant reinforcement had already occurred，）although the degree of upgrade should depend on occupancy load，building height and size，but not soil stability and／or liquefaction risk．This committee also recommended tightening Title 24.85 so that parapet wall bracing would be required for any roof replacement，partial or total．

The Retrofit Standards Committee divided commercial URM buildings into five classes．Private owners will typically find their buildings falling into Classes 3， 4 and 5.
－CLASS 3，structures with four or more stories，high occupancy （300 or more）or apartments with 100 or more units should be retrof itted to Life／Safety standards．

## －CLASS 4，lower buildings（1－3 stories）with fewer occupants should be retrofitted either to Life／Safety or less stringent＂Bolts Plus＂standards（no exterior wall strengthening）．

－CLASS 5，one or two stories， 10 or fewer occupancy would be given ten years to brace parapets and attach exterior and bearing walls to floors and roof．

For the typical three or four story URM apartment building, whether URM Class 3 or 4, the owner will have 25 or (if a hardship is demonstrated) 30 years to complete mandatory upgrades, with an ASCE 41 seismic assessment to be completed within three years of notification from the City that it believes the owner's building is a URM. Although these timeframes may seem inadequate for many owners given the need for funding and the slow payback, the Standards Committee's originally proposed timeframes were significantly less favorable to owners.

## PROPOSED FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

In June 2015, the Retrofit Standards Committee's work was passed onto the Support Committee, which had two charges: (1) to determine the cost of a typical seismic upgrade and (2) to make recommendations on how to make an upgrade economically feasible to owners through financial assistance.

Starting with a 1995 FEMA analysis, then updating it for cost increases and comparing it with some recent seismic upgrade project costs, the Support Committee estimated hard costs for seismically upgrading a typical URM to Life/Safety standards are $\$ 35$ to $\$ 40$ a gross square foot, or $\$ 1.4$ to $\$ 1.6$ million for a 40,000 square foot Class 3 building. The cost to bring the URM to the much higher Immediate Occupancy standard was $\$ 63$ to $\$ 74 /$ sq. ft. The total cost, including soft costs, such as renter relocation and foregone rent, is typically the hard cost plus $\$ 30 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.
The Support Committee recommended various types of financial assistance to owners, including adding a state tax credit for a percentage of seismic expenditures, adding a property tax abatement or assessment freeze, providing a grant to cover initial expenses, possible low interest loans and expanding FAR transfers to allow owners of non-historic buildings to sell their FAR. The Committee recognized that a variety of options were needed, as seismic tax credits and property tax freezes would likely not help non-profit developers, and historic properties already have access to the federal historic tax credit, a property tax assessment freeze and a right to transfer FAR.

## WHAT IS AHEAD

In early 2016, the work of the Retrofit Standards and Support Committees was given to the Seismic Policy Committee to consider and balance all these issues and develop a final set of recommendations to City Council by early summer 2016. Council will adopt a final package of regulatory changes over the summer.

SO WHAT COULD ALL THIS MEAN TO A URM BUILDING OWNER?

Of the some 1,800 URMs in Portland, by the authors' count there are about 300 apartment buildings, totaling approximately 6 million square feet and conservatively worth something like $\$ 650$ million, in need of partial or full seismic retrofits. Given the credible science indicating an impending "Big One," there is likely no way for an
owner of a Class 3 or 4 URM (not situated on rock or extremely firm soil) to avoid having to do one of the following: (a) seismically upgrade, (b) sell to or joint venture with someone who can afford to and will seismically upgrade or (c) demolish the building.

Assuming that the City mandates URM upgrading and adoption of the proposed 25 -year timetable on retrofits, lenders, buyers and insurers of commercial buildings will likely want to know the seismic condition of Portland URM buildings with which they are dealing.
Unless or until cured, seismic deficiencies will necessarily reduce the building's value considerably. Lenders making loans collateralized by URM apartments will likely: want the owners to carry earthquake insurance, complete a seismic upgrade, and/or accept personal liability on the loan and have a net worth well in excess of the loan. Insurance companies will likely: require an ASCE 41 seismic analysis be performed on any URM buildings to be insured, reduce the amount and scope of earthquake coverage on Portland URMs, increase the premiums, increase the deductible, and potentially require that seismic upgrades to be commenced.

Obviously it is essential that any mandatory URM retrofit program adopted by the City be flexible in its impositions on URM owners and include substantial financial help to the owners so the payback is positive and owners are not forced to demolish URMs.

## URM owners who want to weigh in and express their views should follow City Council agendas and the project website: http://www.portlandoregon.gov/pbem/66418

Walt McMonies focused bis practice on real estate and business transactions, including acquisitions of real estate, commercial leasing, mortgage finance, LLC formation and structuring, Section 1031 exchanges, condominiums and family farms. He bas substantial experience representing real estate developers, family trusts, and apartment, office and retail property investors. He has a special interest in bistoric buildings and their renovation and seismic upgrading.


| TOTAL \＃OF UNITS：8，358 TOTAL \＃OF PROPERTIES： 122 | MULTNOMAH COUNTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WASHINGTON COUNTY |  |  |  | CLACKAMAS COUNTY |  | CLARK COUNTY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GARDEN－STYLE 2000－CURRENT |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { GARDEN-STYLE } \\ \mathbf{1 9 5 1 - 1 9 9 9} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { GARDEN-STYLE } \\ \mathbf{1 9 1 0 - 1 9 5 0} \end{gathered}$ |  | URBAN－STYLE 2000－CURRENT |  | urban－style <br> 1910－1950 |  | 2000－CURRENT <br> GARDEN－STYLE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GARDEN-STYLE } \\ & \mathbf{1 9 5 1 - 1 9 9 9} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { GARDEN-STYLE } \\ 1951-1999 \end{gathered}$ |  | GARDEN－STYLE2000－CURRENT |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GARDEN-STYLE } \\ & \mathbf{1 9 5 1 - 1 9 9 9} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 337 |  | 2102 |  | 559 |  | 244 |  | 886 |  | 362 |  | 1873 |  | 953 |  | 141 |  | 901 |  |
|  | 4 |  | 29 |  | 10 |  | 4 |  | 28 |  | 4 |  | 22 |  | 9 |  | 2 |  | 10 |  |
| EXPENSES real estate taxes | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \substack{\text { persqqft }} \end{array}$ | MEDIAN perunit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { persquft } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEDIAN } \\ & \text { per unit } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { persqif } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { perunit }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { persqqft } \end{gathered}$ | MEDIAN per unit | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDINAN } \\ \text { persgqft } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MeDian } \\ \text { per unit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \substack{\text { MEDIIAN } \\ \text { persqft }} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { perunit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { persquft } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MeDIAN } \\ \text { per unit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \substack{\text { MEDILIAN } \\ \text { persqut }} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { perunit }}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { persquft } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { MEPIAN } \\ \text { perunit }}}{\text { c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Meblian } \\ \text { persquft } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { MEDIAN } \\ \text { peranit }}}{ }$ |
|  | 1.05 | 872 | 1.17 | 1，002 | 1.44 | 1036 | 3.37 | 2，120 | 2.10 | 934 | 1.22 | 1，197 | 1.17 | 1，005 | 1.16 | 1，018 | 1.24 | 1，216 | 0.99 | 870 |
| property insurance | 0.19 | 171 | 0.25 | 230 | 0.38 | 279 | 0.25 | 175 | 0.46 | 221 | 0.16 | 164 | 0.19 | 167 | 0.24 | 213 | 0.14 | 134 | 0.26 | 223 |
| PROFESSIONAL OFF－STIEMGMT | 0.60 | 478 | 0.45 | 408 | 1.31 | 1255 | 1.06 | 857 | 2.02 | 798 | 0.43 | 430 | 0.53 | 431 | 0.49 | 391 | 0.48 | 471 | 0.47 | 408 |
| on－SITE MGMT／LEASING MAINT SAAFF | 1.03 | 923 | 1.02 | 987 | 0.69 | 507 | 1.58 | 1，230 | 1.27 | 603 | 1.06 | 1，019 | 1.03 | 912 | 1.03 | 911 | 1.04 | 1，029 | 1.26 | 1，137 |
| officeadminitration | 0.33 | 261 | 0.21 | 197 | 0.33 | 300 | 0.65 | 455 | 0.40 | 193 | 0.14 | 147 | 0.18 | 159 | 0.15 | 102 | 0.19 | 192 | 0.26 | 213 |
| electricity | 0.09 | 84 | 0.09 | 79 | 0.12 | 85 | 0.48 | 295 | 0.25 | 136 | 0.09 | 82 | 0.10 | 86 | 0.06 | 50 | 0.08 | 78 | 0.05 | 50 |
| waterand sewer | 0.61 | 536 | 0.66 | 632 | 1.10 | 822 | 0.67 | 581 | 1.02 | 524 | 0.78 | 724 | 0.89 | 768 | 0.97 | 763 | 0.55 | 542 | 0.54 | 513 |
| garbage collection | 0.19 | 183 | 0.24 | 177 | 0.22 | 161 | 0.14 | 122 | 0.22 | 106 | 0.17 | 152 | 0.21 | 176 | 0.31 | 209 | 0.28 | 281 | 0.29 | 281 |
| gas／oll |  |  |  |  | 0.22 | 144 | 0.07 | 46 | 0.27 | 135 | 0.01 | 11 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.01 | 8.76 | 0.00 | 2 | 0.00 | 3 |
| telephoneinternet | 0.04 | 40 | 0.05 | 50 | 0.02 | 17 | 0.17 | 125 | 0.12 | 66 | 0.05 | 48 | 0.04 | 37 | 0.05 | 35 | 0.07 | 63 | 0.04 | 42 |
| advertising／markeing | 0.04 | 42 | 0.03 | 24 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.17 | 162 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.15 | 153 | 0.06 | 58 | 0.03 | 26 | 0.05 | 48 | 0.06 | 60 |
| LANDSCAP／GROUNDS malitienance | 0.19 | 180 | 0.20 | 172 | 0.25 | 191 | 0.04 | 21 | 0.13 | 59 | 0.22 | 223 | 0.37 | 318 | 0.23 | 191 | 0.33 | 317 | 0.29 | 295 |
| MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS（int\＆ext） | 0.56 | 463 | 0.52 | 392 | 0.52 | 428 | 0.44 | 368 | 0.90 | 467 | 0.63 | 623 | 0.60 | 539 | 0.43 | 401 | 0.18 | 177 | 0.44 | 440 |
| TURNOVER COSTS （painting，cleaning，etc．） | 0.30 | 267 | 0.28 | 235 | 0.25 | 186 | 0.24 | 191 | 0.53 | 244 | 0.27 | 261 | 0.25 | 238 | 0.18 | 173 | 0.19 | 191 | 0.21 | 169 |
| misctuaneous |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | － | － |  |  |  | － | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| total | \＄5．23 | \＄4，499 | \＄5．17 | \＄4，585 | \＄6．87 | \＄5，413 | \＄9．32 | \＄6，748 | \＄9．70 | \＄4，487 | \＄5．40 | \＄5，235 | \＄5．61 | \＄4，894 | \＄5．35 | \＄4，491 | \＄4．82 | \＄4，742 | \＄5．17 | \＄4，704 |

## 鱼自田

M L LTIFAM\｜LY NW
The Association Promoting Quality Rental Housing

Thank you to all who contributed to the making of this report．

AFFINITY PROPERTY MANAGEMENT AMERICAN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT AVENUE5 RESIDENTIAL
B \＆C DEVELOPMENT，LLC
BARKER \＆CALKINS，INC
BENNETT MANAGEMENT COMPANY，LLC BLUESTONE \＆HOCKLEY REALTY bLUESTONE HOMES
BRISTOL EQUITIES INC
BUNTING MANAGEMENT GROUP
C\＆R REAL ESTATE SERVICES
CANYON PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
CAPITAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CARLA PROPERTIES
COLLEGE HOUSING NORTHWEST COMMERCE INVESTMENT INC CTL MANAGEMENT，INC． CYPRESS MANAGEMENT DALTON MANAGEMENT
FIRST CLASS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT GREYSTAR

GUARDIAN REAL ESTATE SERVICES
HOLLAND RESIDENTIAL
HUNT PACIFIC MANAGEMENT
INCOME PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
JENNINGS GROUP INCORPORATED JMW PROPERTIES
LAWRENCE INVESTMENTS
MAINLANDER PROPERTY MANAGEMENT
MDI，LLC
PEAK PROPERTY MANAGEMENT PINNACLE
PRINCETON PROPERTY MANAGEMENT PROMETHEUS REAL ESTATE GROUP QUANTUM RESIDENTIAL R LAWRENCE PROPERTIES REGENCY MANAGEMENT INC SEQUOIA EQUITIES STERLING MANAGEMENT GROUP，INC． TOKOLA PROPERTIES
TRINITY PROPERTY CONSULTANTS
WPL ASSOCIATES


[^0]This report would not be possible without the dedication and commitment
of the Mult family NW staff and the Apartment Report Committee．Thank of the Mult fiamily $N$ N staff and the Apartment Report Committee．I hank
you to the many contributors，writers and consultants who have generously taken the time to provide this information．

For more information on Multifamily NW or to comment on this report，please visit us on the web at wwww．multifamilynww．org．The opinions contained
in this report are those of the culthors and do not necessarily represent the opinions or positions of Mullifaumily NW．
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